### The extinction law of Type la Supernovae



### The Nearby Supernovae Factory

### CHOTARD Nicolas 23rd Rencontres de Blois Ist June 2011









Laboratoire de physique nucléaire et des hautes énergies







mercredi 1 juin 2011

## Outlook

### Introduction

- \*Observational cosmology with SNe Ia 📱
- \*The Nearby Supernova Factory

### **SNe la variability**

- \*SNe la and extinction law
- \*Spectral analysis
- \*Empirical extinction law construction

### Conclusion



# Observational cosmology with SNe la



- \* **Hubble diagram :** distance modulus vs. redshift  $\mu_B = m_B M_B = 5log(\mathbf{d_L}) 5$
- \* **High-z SNe:** expansion and cosmological parameters (in  $d_L$ )
- \* **Nearby SNe:** constrain the degeneracy between cosmology and SNe Ia luminosity
- \* **High quality data** of low redshift SNe la needed to reduce systematics
- \* **Optimal redshift** centered around 0.05 : **Hubble flow** (Linder 06)



# The Nearby Supernovae Factory

#### Main Goals

- \* Increase the nearby SNe Ia sample (0.03 < z < 0.08)
- \* Large sample of flux calibrated spectral time series: control of systematic and standardization
- \* SNe la physics:
  - \* constrain the models with high quality spectra,
  - \* **spectral properties, extinction study**, host analysis,...

#### Data sample

- \* 179 SNe with more than 10 spectra
- \* ~3000 spectra from -15 to +40 days / max
- \* redshift coverage from 0.01 to 0.1, median is 0.06
- \* median first phase: -2
- \* mean cadence of observation: ~3 days
- \* spectral coverage 3000 9000 Å





## SNe la : quasi-standard candles

#### Homogeneity

- \* similar progenitor (white dwarf)
- \* similar mass similar luminosity (Chandrasekhar mass)
- \* but dispersion around 40% without any correction

### Variability

- \* Sources of variabilities:
  - \* intrinsic:
    - \* progenitor composition (metallicity),
    - \* progenitor explosion (<sup>56</sup>Ni mass, viewing angle)
  - \* **<u>extrinsic</u>**: mainly driven by the host ISM extinction
  - \* evolution effects: galaxy properties

#### **Empirical corrections to reduce the dispersion:**

\* light curve width : ∆m I 5, stretch, x I BRIGHTER - SLOWER
\* color: B-V at max, salt2 color BRIGHTER - BLUER

In the SALT2 formalism:  $\mu_B = m_B - M_B + \alpha x_1 - \beta c$ 



dispersion reduced to 0.15 mag



### Dust extinction

\* Dust in the ISM responsable for an extinction, function of the wavelength

#### \* A **2 parameters law**:

- \* dust properties: **R**<sub>v</sub>
- \* amount of dust: **E(B-V)**

#### \* Cardelli extinction law: High R<sub>v</sub> extinction more grey described by: $\left| \frac{A_{\lambda}}{A_{V}} \right| = a_{\lambda} + \frac{b_{\lambda}}{R_{V}}$ 4.00 $\lambda_V$ 3.0 3.75 3.50 2.5 3.25 with: 2.0 3.00 $a_{\lambda}$ et $b_{\lambda}$ , given parameters $A_{\lambda}/A_V$ 2.75 æ $R_V = \frac{A_V}{E(B-V)}$ 2.50 2.25 1.0 2.00 \* Absorption for a given wavelength: 0.5 1.75 $A_{\lambda} = E(B - V) \times (R_V \times a_{\lambda} + b_{\lambda})$ 1.50 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 Wavelength [Å] Low R<sub>v</sub> UV extinction, reddening

## Which extinction law for SNe la?

- \* SNe la dispersion dominated by extinction variability
- \* **Recurrent issue** in SNe la analysis: measurement of the **extinction law (Rv)**
- \* Nearby SNe independant from cosmology: direct estimate of the absorption



**Difficulty**: SNe la variability is a **mix of intrinsic + extrinsic** components **Our Solution** : Measure the **intrinsic variability** with **spectral indicators** 

## Spectral analysis at max



## Spectral analysis at max



# Spectral analysis at max

#### Equivalent widths:

$$EW = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( 1 - \frac{f_{\lambda}(\lambda_i)}{f_c(\lambda_i)} \right) \Delta \lambda_i$$

- \* Insensitive to dust extinction (less than 2%)
- \* Correlated to absolute magnitude and stretch
- \* Measurement of the **intrinsic** part of the **variability**





#### Sample: 76 SNe la which have

- \* a good phase sampling
- \* a spectrum at max (+/- 2.5 days around max)

#### **Measurements** (on each spec at max):

- \* EWs (Si and Ca)
- \* absolute magnitudes (Hubble residuals)

#### 2 set of filters:

9

- \* 5 broad synthetic filters (UBVRI-like)
- \* 200 narrow synthetic filter («spectral»)

# Separating the variabilities

**GOAL** : Construct a mean extinction law for SNe la

Ist step : Correct the Hubble residuals from intrinsic variabilities to get the relative absorptions  $\delta A_{\lambda}$  (up to a constant term)



#### Three cases :

- (a) SNe la are **perfect candles** : only extrinsic variability
- (b) Intrinsic variability described by a *«stretch-like» parameter* : EW<sup>Si</sup>
- (c) Intrinsic variability described by **two parameters**: EW<sup>Si</sup> and EW<sup>Ca</sup>

## Construct the extinction law

**GOAL** : Construct a mean extinction law for SNe la

Ist step : Correct the Hubble residuals from intrinsic variabilities to get the relative absorptions  $\delta A_{\lambda}$  (up to a constant term) 2nd step : Use the relation between the  $\delta A_{\lambda}$  to construct the law



Cardelli extinction law

## Results on the $\gamma_{\lambda}$



#### Results on the $\gamma_{\lambda}$ 2.0 No correction (1) (a) CCM, $R_V = 2.78$ Perfect candles ( ×20) $A_\lambda/A_V~(\equiv\gamma_\lambda^0~)$ $s_\lambda^{~Si}$ 1.5 $s_{\lambda}^{Si}$ [mag/\_ 1.0 0.5 EW<sup>Si</sup> correction 2.0 $s_{\lambda}^{Si} EW_{Si}$ corrected (2) (b) 2 $s_\lambda^{Ca} \; [mag/ m \AA]$ ( imes 50 ) $A_{\lambda}/A_V~(\equiv \gamma_{\lambda}^{ m Si})$ («stretch-like») $s_\lambda^{\ Ca}$ 1.5 **Residual** intrinsic 1.0 variability! 0.5 $s_{\lambda}^{Si} EW_{Si} + s_{\lambda}^{Ca} EW_{Ca}$ corrected (3) 2.0 **C** EW<sup>Si</sup> and EW<sup>Ca</sup> $A_{\lambda}/A_V~(\equiv \gamma_{\lambda}^{\rm Si+Ca})$ corrections 1.5 Classic extinction law 1.0 0.5 $R_V = 2.8 \pm 0.4$ CaII SiII SiII SiII 4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 wavelength [Å] Chotard, et al., A&A. (2011)

#### Results on the $\gamma_{\lambda}$ 2.0 No correction (1) (a) CCM, $R_V = 2.78$ Perfect candles (×20) $s_\lambda^{~Si}$ $A_\lambda/A_V~(\equiv\gamma_\lambda^0~)$ 1.5 [mag/1.0 1 ن<sup>ی</sup> کړ 0.5 EW<sup>Si</sup> correction 2.0 $s_{\lambda}^{Si} EW_{Si}$ corrected (2) (b) $s_\lambda^{Ca} \; [mag/ m \AA]$ ( imes 50 ) $A_{\lambda}/A_V~(\equiv \gamma_{\lambda}^{ m Si})$ («stretch-like») $s_\lambda^{\ Ca}$ 1.5 **Residual** intrinsic 1.0 variability! 0.5 (C) 2.0 $s_{\lambda}^{Si} EW_{Si} + s_{\lambda}^{Ca} EW_{Ca}$ corrected (3) $A_{\lambda}/A_V~(\equiv\gamma_{\lambda}^{ m Si+Ca})$ EW<sup>Si</sup> and EW<sup>Ca</sup> corrections 1.5 Classic extinction law 1.0 0.5 $R_V = 2.8 \pm 0.4$ SiII CaII SiIISiII

7000

8000

6000

Chotard, et al., A&A. (2011)

4000

5000

wavelength [Å]

#### Results on the $\gamma_{\lambda}$ 2.0 No correction (1) (a) CCM, $R_V = 2.78$ Perfect candles ×20) $s_\lambda^{\,Si}$ 1.5 [mag/1.0 1 is x 0.5 EW<sup>Si</sup> correction 2.0 $s_{\lambda}^{Si} EW_{Si}$ corrected (2) (b) $s_\lambda^{Ca} \; [mag/ m \AA]$ ( imes 50 ) $s_\lambda^{\ Ca}$ («stretch-like») 1.5 **Residual** intrinsic 1.0 variability! 0.5 (C) $s_{\lambda}^{Si} EW_{Si} + s_{\lambda}^{Ca} EW_{Ca}$ corrected (3) 2.0 EW<sup>Si</sup> and EW<sup>Ca</sup> corrections 1.5 Classic extinction law 1.0 0.5 $R_V = 2.8 \pm 0.4$ SiII SiII CaII SiII

7000

8000

6000

5000

wavelength [Å]

4000

Chotard, et al., A&A. (2011)

But need to introduce a dispersion into the fit...

 $A_\lambda/A_V~(\equiv\gamma_\lambda^0~)$ 

 $A_{\lambda}/A_V~(\equiv \gamma_{\lambda}^{
m Si})$ 

 $A_{\lambda}/A_V~(\equiv \gamma_{\lambda}^{
m Si+Ca})$ 

## Covariance matrix

#### Why?:

Using the measured covariance matrix only: X<sup>2</sup>>>I

Extra dispersion matrix needed to set the  $X^2$  to 1 (as in all cosmological fits with SNe Ia)

How? : Using the residual  $r_{\lambda}(i)$  to the  $\gamma_{\lambda}$  fit to construct the additionnal covariance matrix

for each of the 3 cases (a,b,c)

Introduction of a **color dispersion**, not usually used

\* Anti-correlation mostly increases with the wavelength differences

\* Same pattern for broad filters and narrow band (spectral) correlations



## Covariance matrix

#### Why?:

Using the measured covariance matrix only: X<sup>2</sup>>>1

Extra dispersion matrix needed to set the  $X^2$  to 1 (as in all cosmological fits with SNe Ia)

**How? :** Using the residual  $r_{\lambda}(i)$  to the  $\gamma_{\lambda}$  fit to construct the additionnal covariance matrix

for each of the 3 cases (a,b,c)

Introduction of a **color dispersion**, not usually used

\* Anti-correlation mostly increases with the wavelength differences

\* Same pattern for broad filters and narrow band (spectral) correlations



**Reminder:**  $\delta A_{\lambda}(i) = \gamma_{\lambda} \ \delta A_{V}^{*}(i) + \eta_{\lambda} \ (+r_{\lambda})$ 



# Conclusion / What's next

#### **Result**:

- \* Two variables correlated to the intrinsic variability
- \* Extinction law compatible with a Cardelli law
- **\* Dispersion in color**
- \* **Rv value** compatible with the **Milky Way one**
- \* Better understanding of the extinction is important to reduce systematic effects in cosmological analysis

### **Open questions:**

- \* Dispersion: intrinsic or extrinsic residuals variabilities?
- \* Is the result the same at an other phase?
- \* Correlation of the matrix to other quantities (spectral variables, host quantities...)?
- \* ... A lot of further spectral analysis are in progress with the SNFactory spectral sample

