

# Heavy Ion Physics with ATLAS & CMS



#### Lamia Benhabib On behalf of CMS and ATLAS collaborations



23rd Rencontres de Blois Particle Physics and Cosmology





Both ATLAS and CMS collaborations provide impressive results in heavy ion physics after PbPb run 2010 at  $\sqrt{s} = 2.76 \text{TeV}$ 

- Multiplicity and transverse energy CMS-PAS-HIN-11-006
- Di-hadron correlation arXiv:1105.2438; CMS-PAS-HIN-11-001
- Elliptic flow and higher harmonics CMS-PAS-HIN-11-003
- Nuclear modification factor CMS-PAS-HIN-10-005
- Electroweak bosons Z, W arXiv:1102.5435v1
- ✓ Isolated photons CMS-PAS-HIN-11-002
- Jet measurement
  - ✓ Dijet asymmetry Phys. Rev. Lett 105 (2010) 252303; arXiv:1102.1957
  - Fragmentation function
- Quarkonia

✓ J $\psi$  measurement Phys. Rev. Lett.B697:294-312 (2011); CMS-PAS-HIN-10-006 and Y suppression arXiv:1105.4894 2

#### Parton energy loss in the medium

- At RHIC high p<sub>T</sub> particle production is suppressed traversing a hot dense medium created in heavy ion collisions
- Nuclear modification factor R<sub>AA</sub>

is ratio of measured particle yields to what would have been measured if a Heavy -lon collision was just a superposition of independent p-p collisions

$$R_{AA} = \frac{1/N_{evnts}d^2N_{PbPb}/dydp_T}{\langle T_{AB} \rangle d^2\sigma_{pp}/dydp_T}$$

medium like Vaccum like





Clear suppression for high  $p_T$  particles ( $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ ) in the most central event, however direct photon measurement is consistent with 1 (up to 14 GeV/c)

3

#### Centrality

 Centrality of a collision is determined from the energy deposit in forward calorimeters



#### **Imbalance dijet**

- Dijet selection
  - > Leading jet  $p_T > 100 \text{ GeV/c}$
  - > Subleading jet  $p_T > 25 \text{ GeV/c}$
- Quantify dijet imbalance by asymmetry ratio

$$A_{j} = \frac{E_{T1} - E_{T2}}{E_{T1} + E_{T2}}$$



ATLAS B.Cole (QM2011)



Parton energy loss is observed as pronounced energy imbalance in reconstructed dijets

#### Single jet central to peripheral ratio :R<sub>cp</sub> vs centrality



#### Single jet central to peripheral ratio $R_{cp}$ vs $E_T$







#### **Fragmentation function**

• Fragmentation function represent the fraction of energy that carry a particular hadron coming from a fragmentation of a parton



Leading and subleading jet in PbPb fragment like jets of a corresponding energy in pp collisions

#### $R_{\text{AA}}$ of charged particles



•  $R_{AA}$  increases as a function of  $p_T$  in the  $p_T > 10 GeV/c$ 

Strong constrain on the parton energy loss

#### W, Z bosons and Isolated photons

- First measurement of Z boson and isolated photons in heavy ion collisions
- No modification is observed in Z and isolated photon production
  - Confirmation of the N<sub>coll</sub> scaling for the pQCD probe





#### Quarkonia

- T. Matsui & H. Satz PLB178, 416 (1986): quarkonia should melt in the QGP
- Good candidates to probe the QGP
  - > Large masses and (dominantly) produced at the early stage of the collision via hard-scattering of gluons
  - Strongly bound resonances
- Quarkonia puzzle started at RHIC
  - > No increase of the suppression with local density
  - >  $R_{AA}$  (|y|<0.35) >  $R_{AA}$  (1.2<|y|<2.2
- Similar suppression at SPS and RHIC energies R<sub>AA</sub> (RHIC, |y|<0.35) ≈ R<sub>AA</sub> (SPS)
- Possible ingredients
  Suppression (gluon diss.)
  Sequential melting
  Gluon saturation / shadowing
  Regeneration
- What about Quarkonia at LHC ?



## ${\rm R}_{\rm AA}\,{\rm and}\;{\rm R}_{\rm cp}$ of J/ $\psi$

- ATLAS published a first measured suppression of J/  $\psi$
- J/ $\psi$  production is more suppressed in central compare to peripheral events



- Stronger suppression seen in CMS than at STAR
- High  $p_T^{J/\psi}$  tendency to survive at RHIC (and SPS) is not seen at the LHC



#### First B $\rightarrow$ J/ $\psi$

🌽 Prompt J/ψ

Inclusive J/ψ

#### Non-Prompt J/ $\psi$ from B decays

Direct J/ $\psi$ 





Feed-down from  $\psi$ ' and  $\chi_{c}$ 

• b quark energy loss Min. bias  $R_{AA} = 0.37 \pm 0.07(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst})$ Central 0-20%  $R_{AA} = 0.36 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst})$ 

non-prompt J/ $\psi$  are less suppressed than prompt J/ $\psi$ First indication of high-p<sub>T</sub>-quark quenching

### $\textbf{R}_{\text{AA}} \, \textbf{of} \, \textbf{Y}(1 \, \textbf{S})$

CMS C.Silvestre (QM2011)



- Minimum bias  $R_{AA} = 0.62 \pm 0.11$  (syst)  $\pm 0.10$  (stat)
- Need more statistics to conclude if high  $p_T \Upsilon$  are less suppressed

### $R_{AA}$ of Y(1S) comparison to STAR



CMS :  $\Upsilon(1S) R_{AA}(0-100) = 0.62 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.10$ 

STAR :  $\Upsilon(1S+2S+3S) R_{AA}(0-60) = 0.56 \pm 0.11^{+0.02}$  R. Reed (poster QM2011)

CMS C.Silvestre(QM2011)

#### Y (1S),(2S),(3S) in pp and PbPb @ 2.76TeV

• Compare  $\Upsilon(2S+3S)$  production relative to  $\Upsilon(1S)$  in pp and PbPb



$$\Upsilon(2S + 3S)/\Upsilon(1S)|_{pp} = 0.78^{+0.16}_{-0.14} \pm 0.02$$
  
 $\Upsilon(2S + 3S)/\Upsilon(1S)|_{PbPb} = 0.24^{+0.13}_{-0.12} \pm 0.02$ 

### Y(2S+3S) Suppression

• A double ratio is performed in order to estimate the suppression

$$\frac{\Upsilon(2\text{S}+3\text{S})/\Upsilon(1\text{S})\big|_{\text{PbPb}}}{\Upsilon(2\text{S}+3\text{S})/\Upsilon(1\text{S})\big|_{\text{pp}}}$$

- Acceptance and efficiency cancel with a double ratio
- Potential differences remain in systematic 9%, from line shapes

$$\frac{\Upsilon(2S+3S)/\Upsilon(1S)\big|_{PbPb}}{\Upsilon(2S+3S)/\Upsilon(1S)\big|_{pp}} = 0.31^{+0.19}_{-0.15} \pm 0.03$$

Hypothesis: no suppression  $\Rightarrow$  p-value 1% Significance of the suppression 2.4  $\sigma$ 



#### **Conclusion-1-**

- There about a factor 2 suppression for jets from central to peripheral events in PbPb collisions
- The results with different cone size R=0.2 and R = 0.4 are quantitatively similar and there is no significant  $E_T$  dependence of the suppression
- The momentum difference in the dijet is balanced by low p<sub>T</sub> particles at large angles relative to the away side jet axis
- Leading and subleading jet in PbPb fragment like jets of a corresponding energy in pp collisions and the fragmentation pattern independent of energy lost in the medium is consistent with parton fragmenting in vacuum
- No modification is observed in Z and isolated photon production and a large suppression is observed in PbPb charged particle spectra which is due to final state medium modification
- $R_{AA}$  rises to about 0.R at high  $p_T$  in the most central events. Strong constrain on the parton energy loss models

#### **Conclusion-2-**

- Prompt J/ $\psi$  is significantly suppressed at LHC
- In CMS for  $p_T^{J/\psi} > 3$  GeV/c and |y| < 2.4
  - > In the 10% most central collisions  $R_{AA} = 0.20 \pm 0.03$ (stat)  $\pm 0.01$ (syst)
  - > In the 50-100% peripheral collisions  $R_{AA} = 0.59 \pm 0.12$ (stat)  $\pm 0.10$ (syst)
- First non-prompt J/ $\psi$  in Heavy Ion
  - > b-quark energy loss
  - > Central 0-20%  $R_{AA} = 0.36 \pm 0.08(stat) \pm 0.03(syst)$
- $\circ$  non-prompt J/ $\psi$  are less suppressed than prompt J/ $\psi$
- $\Upsilon(2S)+\Upsilon(3S)$  excited states are suppressed (relative to  $\Upsilon(1S)$  ) in PbPb collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  =2.76TeV

ATLAS https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HeavylonsPublicResults

**CMS** https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResults