## **Cosmic Rays**

### I. Tkachev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow

### 1 June 2012, Blois

## Quest for cosmic ray sources.









## Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin Effect









## Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin Effect



- CR detected at  $E > 10^{20} \text{ eV}$  should originate within R < 100 Mpc. This also leads to a cut off in the spectrum.
- Universe is inhomogeneous at these scales.
- If CR are protons, deflections in magnetic fields are expected to be small.

Charged particle astronomy should be possible and we should see sources.

## Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin Cut-off



 No cut-off in the AGASA data. (AGASA was an array of surface detectors (SD))

AGASA collaboration (2003)

 HiRes announced observation of the GZK. (HiRes was an observatory of fluorescent light detectors (FD)) HiRes collaboration (2007)

## New generation of CR observatories

### PAO

(Hybrid, Southern hemisphere)



## Telescope Array

(Hybrid, Northern hemisphere)



- 1600 SD's
   1.5 km spacing, 3000 km<sup>2</sup>
- 4 FD's

- 507 SD's
  - 1.2 km spacing, 700 km<sup>2</sup>
- 3 FD's

## New generation of CR observatories

### PAO

### **Telescope Array**





#### Water tanks

### 3 m<sup>2</sup> scintillators

Telescope Array SD spectrum

TA collaboration, arXiv:1205.5067

See also G. Thomson, this conference



Note: TA is using the same type of SDs as were employed by AGASA

## Theoretical modeling

 $J_{source} \propto E^{-eta} (1+z)^m$ 





PAO spectrum fitted by Fe primaries Auger collaboration, ICRC 2009 HiRes spectrum fitted by proton primaries Berezinsky, Gazizov, Grigorieva (2005)

Dramatically different conclusions at face value ...

## **Theoretical modeling**

Galactic to Extragalactic





PAO spectrum fitted by Fe primaries Auger collaboration, ICRC 2009 HiRes spectrum fitted by proton primaries Berezinsky, Gazizov, Grigorieva (2005)

Dramatically different conclusions at face value ...

## Spectra comparison

Working Group, UHECR2012



After indicated constant energy shift

- Auger/HiRes/TA are in agreement within systematic uncertainties
- At E < 40 EeV all spectra match</li>

True energy scale is still unknown, but may be the dip position reveals it?

## CR composition

### Intermediate energies:



All experiments agree on light composition at

 $E \sim E_{
m ankle}$ 

Bluemer et al, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 63 (2009) 293

## Upper limits on the dipole

### Anisotropy amplitude of the first harmonic in RA



PAO, Astropart.Phys. 34 (2011) 627

## Galactic to Extragalactic transition



#### At highest energies

#### HiRes fitted by proton primaries

#### PAO fitted by mixed composition

# We cannot use dip position to calibrate energy yet.

## **CR** composition

### At highest energies:

#### PAO - heavy nuclei



#### **HiRes- protons**







## LHCf

Spectra of single  $\gamma$  showers at  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  and MC predictions.



None of the original models perfectly agree with data.

LHCf, Phys.Lett. B703 (2011) 128

## EAS with Re-tuned CR Models

### **Before LHC**

### With LHC



Cross section and multiplicity fixed at 7 TeV

Pierog, UHECR2012



## AGNs is not the only interpretation

Tensions within AGN interpretation:

- Signal is not consistent with the hypothesis; Virgo problem.
- Chemical composition Fe but should be p.
- Local AGNs are weak.
- No signal in HiRes.



#### Gorbunov, Tinyakov, I.T., Troitsky, arXiv:0711.4060, arXiv:0804.1088 Fargion, arXiv:0801.0227

## AGNs is not the only interpretation

Tensions within AGN interpretation:

- Signal is not consistent with the hypothesis; Virgo problem.
- Chemical composition Fe but should be p.
- Local AGNs are weak.
- No signal in HiRes.





- Cen A is the closest radiogalaxy by chance projected on LSS
- It is outside of HiRes field of view

This provides an alternative explanation for the Auger signal.

Gorbunov, Tinyakov, I.T., Troitsky, arXiv:0711.4060, arXiv:0804.1088 Fargion, arXiv:0801.0227

## Evolution of the signal in Auger data

AGN

### Cen A



AGN signal became weaker

Cen A signal persists Virgo paucity persists

PAO, ICRC 2011

## Search for AGN signal in Telescope Array data

### 'Blind' test of AGN hypothesis



#### Original Auger AGN hypothesis is not supported by TA data.

TA collaboration, arXiv:1205.5984

## Test of AGN hypothesis in Northern Hemisphere

#### **Telescope Array**



TA collaboration, arXiv:1205.5984

#### AGASA+Yakutsk+HiRes @ common energy scale



Rubtsov et al, JETP Lett. 95 (2012) 569

### Original Auger AGN hypothesis is not supported.

## Puzzling anisotropy (of hadronic CRs) at low energy

Flux variations at the level of a few  $10^{-4}$ 



**20 TeV**, IceCube, arxiv:1105.2326

-7.6 11.4 s.d.

**2 TeV**, ARGO-YBJ, arxiv:1010.4401

Interpretational problems:

- Larmor radius of a 10 TeV proton in a 2µG magnetic field is 0.005 pc.
- Decay length of 10 TeV neutron is 0.1 pc

No compelling explanation found yet.

## Gamma astronomy



## Fermi Lat study of Cen A



Red diamonds: Fermi-LAT data

Single zone SSC model explains multiwavelength SED, except for the HESS data.

It is unlikely that protons can be accelerated to energies above  $4 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV}$ , although this is possible for heavier ions.

Fermi collaboration, ApJ 719 (2010) 1433

## Electromagnetic Cascades and TeV & Rays

Universe is not transparent to TeV radiation  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ . Resulting cascades overproduce GeV  $\gamma$ -ray, not observed by Fermi LAT. Why?



## Electromagnetic Cascades and TeV & Rays

Universe is not transparent to TeV radiation  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ . Resulting cascades overproduce GeV Y-ray, not observed by Fermi LAT. Why?



#### Solution 1:

Magnetic fields  $B > 10^{-17} G$ sufficiently disperse GeV  $\gamma$ -ray cascades.

Neronov, Vovk, Science 328 (2010) 73

#### Solution 2:

UHE protons produce TeV  $\gamma$ -ray continuously en-route from source. Requires B  $< 10^{-14}$  G.

Essey et al, ApJ. 731 (2011) 51

## Extragalactic $\gamma$ -ray background



## UHECR and extragalactic $\gamma$ -ray background

UHE primary p and secondary  $\gamma$  and GZK u



Limits on source properties are emerging. Injection spectrum  $\beta > 2.4$  and evolution parameter m < 4. Revised upper bounds for GZK neutrino.

> Gelmini, Kalashev, Semikoz, JCAP 1201 (2012) 044 , see also Berezinsky et al, Phys. Lett. B 695 (2011) 13 Ahlers at al, Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 106

## GRB models of UHECR are in problem

#### Neutrino flux for cosmic ray normalized models



Derived using tight constraint on spatial and temporal coincidence of  $\nu$  with a  $\gamma$ -ray burst.

#### GRBs are not the only sources of cosmic rays

IceCube, Nature 484 (2012) 351

See also D. Williams, this conference

## Search for UHE photons



Limits on photon flux. Exotic models ruled out.

Multimessenger WG, UHECR2012

## Search for UHE photons



Limits on photon flux and projected sensitivities.

Multimessenger WG, UHECR2012

## Conclusions

- Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin cut-off
  - The cut-off is firmly established, it's nature is under study
- Cosmic ray composition
  - Protons or Heavy Nuclei?
  - Disagreement between PAO and HiRes/TA is not understood yet
  - What are the fractions of photons and neutrino?
- Cosmic ray sources and anisotropy
  - Large number of new  $\gamma$ -ray sources detected by H.E.S.S., MAGIC, VERITAS and Fermi
  - Anisotropy of TeV CR confirmed by ARGO/YBJ and IceCube
  - Is local population of AGNs relevant for UHECR?
  - GRBs are out of favour
  - Is charged particle astronomy possible?
- Shower development
  - New physics?
  - LHC data are streaming and should improve understanding