Heavy Flavour Results from the Tevatron

Iain Bertram
Department of Physics
Lancaster University

Recontres de Blois 29th May 2012

- Winter 2012 results - A selection of recent results
  - CDF Bs → μμ search (full dataset)
  - CDF Bs → J/ψΦ (full dataset)
  - DØ Bs → J/ψf'$_2$(1525) (recently submitted, full dataset)
  - DØ New State decaying to ϒ(1S) + γ
  - DØ Λ_b Lifetime ($\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$) (recently submitted, full dataset)
  - CDF CP Violation in Charm (full dataset)

- Other recent results not covered here
  - CDF: Br(Bs→J/ψφ) and fs/fd; Br(Bs→$D_s^{(*)}D_s^{(*)}$); D Meson Fragmentation; CPV in $D^0 \rightarrow K_s\pi\pi$; ϒ(ns) Spin Alignment; B_c Lifetime
  - DØ: A^b_{sl} Anomalous Dimuon, Bs → J/ψf$_0$(980)

CDF: $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$ (full dataset)

- SM prediction (A. Buras et al., arXiv:1012.2126) :

  Br $(B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu) = (3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-9}$

  Br $(B_d \rightarrow \mu\mu) = (1.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-10}$

- New Phenomena could lead to much higher BR.



- CDF 2011 result showed a 2.7σ deviation above the expected background.
  Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 191801 (2011)



- This result has been updated with the complete Tevatron dataset (30% increase in the dataset) .



- CDF uses the same data selection with no improvements to test the result.

- In B_d the extracted limit is $<4.6 \times 10^{-9}$ (consistent with background)
  expected limit 4.2×10^{-9}

CDF: $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$ (full dataset)

B_s



2-sided limit -

reduced significance slightly to about 2.2σ

$$0.8 \times 10^{-9} < BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu) < 3.4 \times 10^{-8} @\ 95\%$$

$$\left[\mathrm{BR} = (1.3^{+0.9}_{-0.7}) \times 10^{-8}\right]$$

CDF: $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$ (full dataset)



CDF: $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\ \Phi$ (full dataset)

- Analysis of full data set: ~11k events
- low pT dimuon trigger.
  CDF: Off-line optimised NN selection;
  DØ: BDT/square cuts.
- joint fit to mass, production flavour, decay-time, decay-angles



Look at other B ($\varepsilon D^2 \sim 1.4\%$)
+
Look at K in fragmentation with B_s ($\varepsilon D^2 \sim 3\%$)



Trace the time-evolution and fast B_s oscillations

Disentangle CP-even/CP-odd final state

Include J/ψ KK S-wave contribution

CDF: $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi\,\Phi$ (full dataset)



$$\phi_s = [-0.60, 0.12]\ @68\%\mathrm{CL}$$

$$\Delta\Gamma_s = 0.068 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.007\ \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$$

$$\tau_s = 1.528 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.009\ \mathrm{ps}$$

CDF Note: 10778

PRD 85, 032006 (2012)



Strong phases fitting range restricted based on $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\,K^*$

$$\phi_s = -0.55^{+0.38}_{-0.36}$$

$$\Delta\Gamma_s = 0.163\ ^{+0.065}_{-0.064}\ \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$$

$$\tau_s = 1.443\ ^{+0.038}_{-0.035}\ \mathrm{ps}$$

Comparison - All Experiments



DØ $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f'_2(1525)$ (full dataset)

- submitted to PRD arXiv:1204.5723
- Analysis Outline:
  - Determine identity of decay;
    Extract Bs → $J/\psi f'_2(1525)$ yield from fitting B_s yield vs M(KK);
    Measure the Spin.
- $f'_2(1525)$ decays to KK, $f_0(1500)$ large ππ - observe only KK.
  - Major Background is $K^*_J(1430)$





Data favour J=2, but also consistent with a coherent superposition of J=0 and J=2.
Incompatible with J=0 or J=1

DØ $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f'_2(1525)$ (full dataset)

- Combined fit - includes relativistic BW with J=2 plus a constant S-wave contribution

- Constant fraction = 0.33 ±0.09





$$R_{f'/\phi} = 0.22 \pm 0.05(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.04(\mathrm{syst})$$

Compare with LHCb result
(PRL, 108, 151801 (2012)

$$R_{f'/\phi} = 0.264 \pm 0.027(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.024(\mathrm{syst})$$

DØ: New State decaying to Υ(1S) + γ

- Confirmation of ATLAS observation (arXiv:1112.5154)



$\Upsilon(1S) \to \mu\mu$



$\gamma \to ee$



Is this the $\chi_b(3P)$?

$$\text{DØ } M(X) = 10.551 \pm 0.014(\text{stat}) \pm 0.016(\text{syst}) \text{GeV/c}^2$$

$$\text{ATLAS } M(X) = 10.530 \pm 0.005(\text{stat}) \pm 0.009(\text{syst}) \text{GeV/c}^2$$

Λ_b Lifetime ($\rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$)



- CDF 2011 Result 2σ above WA
- Theoretical prediction (HQET):
  PRD 70, 094031 (2004)

$$\left.\frac{\tau_{\Lambda_b}}{\tau_{B_d}}\right|_{\mathrm{NLO}} = 0.88 \pm 0.05$$

- Current best results

$$\mathrm{CDF} : 1.020 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.008$$

$$\mathrm{D\emptyset} : 0.811^{+0.096}_{-0.087} \pm 0.034$$

DØ Λ_b Lifetime ($\rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$) (full dataset)



- arXiv:1204.2340

Extract lifetimes

$$\tau(\Lambda_b^0) = 1.303 \pm 0.075\ (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.035\ (\text{syst.})\ \text{ps},$$
$$\tau(B^0) = 1.508 \pm 0.025\ (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.043\ (\text{syst.})\ \text{ps},$$

in agreement with WA results

$$\left.\frac{\tau_{\Lambda_b}}{\tau_{B_d}}\right|_{\text{NLO}} = 0.88 \pm 0.05$$

$$\frac{\tau(\Lambda_b)}{\tau(B_d)} = 0.864 \pm 0.052\,(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.033\,(\text{syst.})$$

- Consistent with theoretical prediction
- 2.2σ discrepancy with CDF result
  - Need additional measurement (LHC experiments?)

CDF - CP Violation in Charm

- Previous results
  - CDF 2011: use displaced track triggers to obtain huge data samples PRD85, 012009 (2012)

$$A_{CP}\left(D^0 \to K^+K^-\right) = (-0.24 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.10)\,\%$$

$$A_{CP}\left(D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\right) = (+0.22 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.11)\,\%$$

  - LHCb 2012: 3.5σ deviation from SM PRL 108, 111602 (2012)
  - $\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(D^0 \to K^+K^-) - A_{CP}(D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-)$ maximally sensitive to NP.

Experimentally convenient:
instrumental asymmetries cancel.

$$\Delta A_{CP} = (-0.82 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.11)\,\%$$

CDF - ΔA_{CP} with the Full Dataset

- Optimised data selection for ΔA_{CP} doubling the signal
  - loosened selection (removing IP requirement)
- Get D^0 flavour from $D^* \rightarrow D^0\pi$
  - the soft pion induces O(1%) asymmetries - use difference to cancel detector based effects and accentuate effect of NP.

$$\Delta A_{CP} = (A(K^+K^-) + \delta(\pi_s)) - (A(\pi^+\pi^-) + \delta(\pi_s))$$





CDF - ΔA_{CP} with the Full Dataset



- Consistent with the LHVb result (same sensitivity).

$$\Delta A_{CP} = (-0.82 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.11)\,\%$$

- When combining using HFAG method the result is ~4σ from SM result of zero.

$$\Delta\,\mathrm{A_{CP}}^{\mathrm{dir}} = (\text{-}0.67 \pm 0.16)\%$$
$$\mathrm{A_{CP}}^{\mathrm{ind}} = (\text{-}0.02 \pm 0.22)\%$$

$$\Delta A_{CP} = [-0.62 \pm 0.21(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.10(\mathrm{syst})]\,\%$$

Summary

- Tevatron still producing new, high impact results with the Full Run II dataset
  - CPV in Charm sector!
    CDF confirms LHCB's evidence of CPV in charm with same precision !
  - Rare B decays.
    extension to full sample confirms summer result.
  - Bs mixing
    Closer to SM expectations.
  - Confirmation of $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi f'_2(1525)$ - J=2 confirmed
  - ASL needs independent confirmation!
  - Confirmation of X_b.
  - DØ Λ_b Lifetime consistent with HQET

Summary

- Tevatron still producing new, high impact results with the Full Run II dataset
  - CP[illegible]harm sector!
    [illegible] HCB's evidence of CPV in charm with
  - Ra[illegible]
    extensi[illegible]s summer result.
- Bs mixing
  Closer to SM expec[illegible]
- Confirmation of Bs → J/ψ[illegible]
- ASL needs independent confirmat[illegible]
- Confirmation of Xb.
- DØ Λ_b Lifetime consistent with HQET

Many more results to Come

Backup Slides

D0 - Dimuon Charge Asymmetry

$$A_{sl}^{b} = (-0.787 \pm 0.172(\text{stat}) \pm 0.093(\text{syst}))\,\%$$

- Anomalous Dimuon - 3.9σ deviation from SM expectations

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\rm sl}^{d} &= (-0.12 \pm 0.52)\%, \\ a_{\rm sl}^{s} &= (-1.81 \pm 1.06)\%. \end{aligned}$$



- Need to investigate in as many different ways as possible.

- flavour-specific measurements, integrated mixing probability, further IP studies.

DØ Λ_b Lifetime ($\rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$) (full dataset)

- Submitted to PRD-RC on Wednesday (arXiv:1204.2340)
  Makes use of full dataset

  - Use two similar processes:
    $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$ and $B_d \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$
    where $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$, $K_s \rightarrow \pi\pi$, $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow p\pi$

  - Use selection criteria that does not bias the lifetime





DØ: New State decaying to Υ(1S) + γ

$$\text{DØ } M(X) = 10.551 \pm 0.014(\text{stat}) \pm 0.016(\text{syst})\text{GeV/c}^2$$

$$\text{ATLAS } M(X) = 10.530 \pm 0.005(\text{stat}) \pm 0.009(\text{syst})\text{GeV/c}^2$$

- Interpretation - the new state has not been fully identified.
- Narrow Structure
- Branching ratios? Spin structure? Just one state?



Kwong, Rosner
Phys.Rev.D38:279,1988
$m(\chi_b(3P)) \approx 10.520$ GeV

Törnqvist
Phys.Lett.B590:209-215,2004
$m(B\bar{B}^*) \approx 10.545$ GeV