Recent results of light hadron spectroscopy from BESIII

Cui Li

(for the BESIII Collaboration)
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei

The 24th Rencontres de Blois
"Particle Physics and Cosmology"
Blois France, May 27^{th}-June 2^{nd}, 2012

Outline

Overview of BEPCII and BESIII



2004: start BEPCII construction

2008: test run of BEPCII

2009-now: BEPCII/BESIII data taking

BEPCII storage rings

BEPCII(the Beijing Electron Positron Collider)



Beam energy:
1.0-2.3GeV
Design Luminosity:
$1 \times 10^{33} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$
Record Luminosity:
$6.492 \times 10^{32} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$
Energy spread:
5.16×10^{-4}
Optimum energy:
$1.89 GeV$
No.of bunches:
93
Bunch length:
$1.5 cm$
Total current:
$0.91 A$
Circumference:
$237 m$

The BESIII Detector





BESIII(the Beijing Spectrometer)

- MDC:43 layers; $\sigma(p)/p = 0.5\%@1GeV$; $\sigma(dE/dx) < 6\%$; $\sigma_{xy} = 130um$
- TOF:100ps for Barrel;110ps for Endcap
- EMC:$\sigma(E)/E = 2.5\%@1GeV$
- MUC:9 layers of RPC for barrel, 8 for endcap

BESIII Commissioning

- July 19, 2008: first e^+e^- collision event in BESIII
- Nov. 2008: ~14M ψ(2S) events for detector calibration
- 2009: 106M ψ(2S) (four times of CLEOc)
  225M J/ψ (four times of BESII)
- 2010: ~900 pb^{-1} ψ(3770)
- 2011: ~2000 pb^{-1} ψ(3770)
  470 pb^{-1} at 4.01 GeV
- 2012: tau mass measurement
  ψ(2S): 0.4 billion; J/ψ: 1 billion (May 25)

World's largest sample of J/ψ, ψ(2S) and ψ(3770).
The numbers are still growing.

$X(p\bar{p})$ was observed at BESII and confirmed by BESIII





BESII:
$M=1859^{+3+5}_{-10-25}$ MeV/c^2
Γ <30MeV/c^2 at the 90% C.L.
PRL 91(2003)022001

BESIII:
$M=1861^{+6+7}_{-13-26}$ MeV/c^2
Γ <38MeV/c^2 at the 90% C.L.
Chinese Physics C 34, 421(2010)

Confirmed the enhancement! Results are consistent with BESII.

What could it be? $p\bar{p}$ bound state or Final state interaction effect(FSI) or some of both?

Partial Wave Analysis (PWA) of $J/\psi \to \gamma p\bar{p}$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 112003(2012)



- The fit with a BW and S-wave FSI (I=0) factor can well describe ppbar mass threshold structure.
- It is much better than that without FSI effect, and $\Delta 2\ln L = 51 \Rightarrow 7.1\sigma$.

$f_0(2100)/f_2(1910)$ fixed to PDG. Signif. of $X(p\bar{p}) \gg 30\sigma$

J^{pc} is determined to be 0^{-+}

$M = 1832^{+19}_{-5}(stat.)^{+18}_{-17}(syst.) \pm 19(model)\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$

$\Gamma = 13 \pm 39(stat.)^{+10}_{-13}(syst.) \pm 4(model)\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ (Γ <76MeV/c^2, 90% C.L.)

$Br(J/\psi \to \gamma X(p\bar{p})) * Br(X(p\bar{p}) \to p\bar{p}) =$

$(9.0^{+0.4}_{-1.1}(stat.)^{+1.5}_{-5.0}(syst.) \pm 2.3(model)) \times 10^{-5}$

PWA of $\psi(2S) \to \gamma p\bar{p}$



$Br(\psi(2S) \to \gamma X(p\bar{p})) * Br(X(p\bar{p}) \to p\bar{p}) =$
$(4.57 \pm 0.36(stat.)^{+1.23}_{-4.07} \pm 1.28(model)) \times 10^{-6}$
The production ratio R:
$R = \frac{Br(\psi(2S) \to \gamma X(p\bar{p}))}{Br(J/\psi \to \gamma X(p\bar{p}))} = (5.08^{+0.71}_{-0.45}(stat.)^{+0.67}_{-3.58}(syst.) \pm 0.12(model))\%$
It is suppressed compared with 12%rule.

$M(\pi^+\pi^-)/M(\pi^0\pi^0)$, $M(f_0(980)\pi^0)$



Helicity analysis indicates that peak at $1400MeV$ is from $\eta(1405) \to f_0(980)\pi^0$(isospin violated decays), not from $f_1(1420)$

First observation of $\eta(1405) \to f_0(980)\pi^0$ in $J/\psi \to \gamma f_0(980)\pi^0$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 182001 (2012)

Anomalous lineshape of $f_0(980)$:

$f_0(980) \to \pi^+\pi^-$:

M=$989.9 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$, $\Gamma = 9.5 \pm 1.1 \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$

$f_0(980) \to \pi^0\pi^0$:

M=$987.0 \pm 1.4 \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$, $\Gamma = 4.6 \pm 5.1 \mathrm{MeV}/c^2$

The measured width of the $f_0(980)$ is much narrower than the world average(PDG2010,Γ: 40-100MeV/c^2).

$Br(J/\psi \to \gamma\eta(1405) \to \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-) =$
$(1.50 \pm 0.11(stat) \pm 0.11(sys)) \times 10^{-5}$

$Br(J/\psi \to \gamma\eta(1405) \to \gamma\pi^0 f_0(980) \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0) =$
$(7.10 \pm 0.82(stat) \pm 0.72(sys)) \times 10^{-6}$

Large Isospin-violating decay rate(in general,$<$ 1% at 0.1% level):

$Br(\eta(1405) \to f_0(980)(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/Br(\eta(1405) \to \pi^+\pi^-\eta) \sim 7.5\%$,

$Br(\eta(1405) \to f_0(980)(\pi^0\pi^0)\pi^0)/Br(\eta(1405) \to a_0(980)\pi) \sim 25\%$

A possible explanation is KK^* loop(J.J.Wu et al, PRL 108, 081803 (2012))

$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta', \eta' \to 3\pi$



New results:

$Br(\eta' \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (3.83 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-3}$(PDG2010,$(3.6^{+1.1}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-3}$)

$Br(\eta' \to 3\pi^0) = (3.56 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$(PDG2010,$(1.68 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}$)

For the decay $\eta' \to 3\pi^0$, it is two times larger than the world average value.

$\omega\phi$ threshold enhancement in $J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\phi$(BESII)



Phys. Rev. Lett. 96(2006)162002

- Doubly OZI suppressed decay.
- Observed by BESII collaboration for the first time.
- $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$
- $M = 1812^{+19}_{-26} \pm 18 MeV/c^2$, $\Gamma = 105 \pm 20 \pm 28 MeV/c^2$
- B($J/\psi \to \gamma$ X(1810))*B(X(1810)$\to \omega\phi$)
  $=(2.61 \pm 0.27(stat) \pm 0.65(syst)) \times 10^{-4}$

What could it be? A tetraquark state, a hybrid, a glueball state, an effect due to intermediate meson rescatterings, etc.?

Preliminary PWA Results of $J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\phi$

Resonance	J^{PC}	M(MeV/c^2)	Γ(MeV/c^2)	Significance
$X(1810)$	0^{++}	1795 ± 7	95 ± 10	$> 30\sigma$
$f_2(1950)$	2^{++}	1944	472	$> 10\sigma$
$f_0(2020)$	0^{++}	1992	442	$> 10\sigma$
$\eta(2225)$	0^{-+}	2240	1903	6.4σ
phase space	0^{-+}	2400	5000	$> 8\sigma$

Spin-parity, mass, width and B.R. of $X(1810)$:

J^{pc}=0^{++}

M=$1795 \pm 7(stat)^{+23}_{-5}(syst)$MeV/$c^2$

Γ=$95 \pm 10(stat)^{+78}_{-34}(syst)$MeV/$c^2$

B($J/\psi \to \gamma\ X(1810)$)*B($X(1810) \to \omega\phi$)

$=(1.99 \pm 0.08(stat)^{+1.37}_{-0.99}(syst)) \times 10^{-4}$

Results are consistent with those of BESII.

Preliminary PWA Results of $J/\psi \to \gamma\omega\phi$









Some results from other experiments

- First observed $f_0(1710)$ from J/ψ radiative decays to $\eta\eta$ by Crystal Ball in 1982.
- Crystal Ball Collaboration(2002) analyzed the three final states $\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\eta\pi^0\pi^0$ and $\pi^0\eta\eta$ with K matrix formalism. Found a 2^{++} ($\sim$1870), but no $f_0(1710)$.
- E835(2006): $p\bar{p} \to \pi^0\eta\eta$, found $f_0(1500)$ and $f_0(1710)$.
- WA102 and GAMS all identified $f_0(1710)$ in $\eta\eta$.

Preliminary PWA Results of $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$









Preliminary PWA Results of $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$

Resonance	Mass(MeV/c^2)	Width(MeV/c^2)	$\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \to \gamma X \to \gamma\eta\eta)$	Significance
$f_0(1500)$	1468^{+14+20}_{-15-74}	$136^{+41+8}_{-26-100}$	$(1.61^{+0.29+0.41}_{-0.32-1.28}) \times 10^{-5}$	8.2 σ
$f_0(1710)$	1759^{+6+14}_{-6-25}	172^{+10+31}_{-10-15}	$(2.35^{+0.07+1.23}_{-0.07-0.72}) \times 10^{-4}$	25.0 σ
$f_0(2100)$	2081^{+13+23}_{-13-34}	273^{+27+65}_{-24-18}	$(9.0^{+0.57+5.52}_{-0.52-2.21}) \times 10^{-5}$	13.9 σ
$f_2'(1525)$	1513^{+5+3}_{-5-10}	75^{+12+15}_{-10-7}	$(5.41^{+0.43+1.22}_{-0.50-1.23}) \times 10^{-5}$	11.0 σ
$f_2(1810)$	1822^{+29+61}_{-24-54}	229^{+52+64}_{-42-}	$(5.38^{+0.60+3.31}_{-0.67-2.24}) \times 10^{-5}$	6.4 σ
$f_2(2340)$	$2362^{+31+139}_{-30-59}$	334^{+6}_{-}	$(5.58^{+0.61+1.93}_{-0.65-1.81}) \times 10^{-5}$	7.6 σ

- $f_0(1710)$ and $f_0(2100)$ are dominant scalars
- $f_0(1500)$ exists (8.2σ)
- $f_2'(1525)$ is the dominant tensor

Summary

- Confirmation of $p\bar{p}$ threshold structure.
  J^{PC} of $X(p\bar{p})$ is firstly determined to be 0^{-+} by PWA.
  First measurement of branching ratio for $\psi(2S) \to \gamma X(p\bar{p})$.
- First observation of $\eta(1405) \to f_0(980)\pi^0$ in $J/\psi \to \gamma 3\pi$.
- Confirmation of $\omega\phi$ threshold structure.
- Observation of $f_0(1500)$ and $f_0(1710)$ in $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\eta$.

More results of J/ψ decay are expected.

Thanks for your attention! 谢谢！