

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Results of T2K

Davide Sgalaberna (ETH Zurich) on behalf of the T2K collaboration

Rencontres de Blois, May 20th 2014

1

Neutrino oscillations



$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = 7.58^{+0.22}_{-0.26} \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}^2/\mathrm{c}^4$$

 $|\Delta m_{32}^2| = 2.35^{+0.12}_{-0.09} \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2/\text{c}^4$

Oscillation probabilities at T2K

Open questions:

- Is CP symmetry violated in lepton sector $(\delta_{CP} \neq 0)$?
- Mass hierarchy (sign of Δm^{2}_{31})?
- Is θ_{23} maximal (or which octant)?

At T2K: E_{peak} ~ 0.6 GeV, L ~ 295 km (baseline)

v_{μ} disappearance \rightarrow measure Θ_{23} and $\Delta m^2{}_{32}$

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) \simeq 1 - (\cos^{4} \theta_{13} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{23} + \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23}) \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^{2}L}{4E}\right)$$
Leading term
$$V_{e} \text{ appearance } \rightarrow \text{ measure } \theta_{13} \text{ and } \delta_{CP}$$

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) \simeq \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^{2}L}{4E}\right)$$

$$Can \text{ solve the octant}}$$

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) \simeq \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^{2}L}{4E}\right)$$

$$-\frac{\sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23}}{2 \sin \theta_{13}} \sin \left(\frac{\Delta m_{21}^{2}L}{4E}\right) \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} \frac{\Delta m_{31}^{2}L}{4E} \sin \delta_{CP}$$

9 - 1

The T2K Experiment



T2K Neutrino Beam



- 30 GeV proton beam on C target (90 cm)
- 3 magnetic horns (250kA)
- v_{μ} from π^+ decay (~96m decay pipe)
- Small ν_e contamination from μ and K
- Muon Monitor (MUMON)
 - measure the beam profile and intensity
 - monitor the on-axis beam direction
- Beam dump to stop hadrons
- 2.5° off-axis neutrino beam
 - low-energy narrow band
 - peak at oscillation maximum
 - decrease high-energy background

Hadron production measured by NA61/SHINE experiment (CERN)

- tune the flux and reduce the uncertainties





T2K far detector: Super-Kamiokande

- Water Cherenkov detector (50 kton)
- Fiducial mass 22.5 kton
- Inner detector (~11k PMTs)
- Outer detector (2k PMTs) determine fully contained events
- Very good e/μ separation
- Muons misidentified as electron <1%





Data collected and analyzed



 We collected 6.63 x 10²⁰ protons on target (p.o.t.) so far (~8% target total p.o.t.)

Beam power has been increased up to 220kW

of protons

Delivered #

- Operation with a world record of 1.2x10¹⁴ proton per pulse
- <1mrad beam direction stability (<2% beam energy shift)</p>

ND280 selected samples

- ND280 is used to constrain the systematic uncertainties at SK
- Select events w/ ND280 Tracker
- Separate into 3 samples by topology :
 - CC0π: no pions in the final state
 - CC1 π^+ : only $1\pi^+$ in the final state
 - CCother: >1 π ⁺ or >0 π ⁻ or >0 tagged photons

Run1-4 (5.9x10²⁰ p.o.t.)

Measured v_e flux normalization agrees with expectation: $R(v_e) = 1.01 \pm 0.10$ PRD 89 092003, arXiv:1403.2552



ND280 constraint

- In the fit data are binned in $\{p_{\mu}, \varphi_{\mu}\}$
- Only $\nu_{\mu}\,data$ sample is used
- From ~12% to ~7% uncertainty on flux
- Reduce the correlated flux and cross section (Xsec) systematic uncertainties at the far detector



Parameter	Prior to ND280 Constraint	After ND280 Constraint
M _A ^{QE} (GeV)	1.21 ± 0.45	1.240 ± 0.072
M _A ^{RES} (GeV)	1.41 ± 0.22	0.965 ± 0.068
CCQE Norm. E_v < 1.5 GeV	1.00 ± 0.11	0.966 ± 0.076
CCQE Norm. 1.5 <e<sub>v<3.5 GeV</e<sub>	1.00 ± 0.30	0.93 ± 0.10
CCQE Norm. E_v >3.5 GeV	1.00 ± 0.30	0.85 ± 0.11
CC1 π Norm. E _v <2.5 GeV	1.15 ± 0.32	1.26 ± 0.16
CC1 π Norm. E _v >2.5 GeV	1.00 ± 0.40	1.12 ± 0.17
NC1π ⁰ Norm.	0.96 ± 0.33	1.14 ± 0.25



T2K events



- Low scattering
- Ring with sharp edge



- Multiple scattering
- EM shower
- Ring with "fuzzy" edge



- EM shower from $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
- Can be misidentified as an electron
- Intrinsic v_e component <1%



T2K selected samples

ν_{μ} event selection

- Fully contained fiducial volume
- Single ring µ-like event
- E_{visible} > 200 MeV
- # decay electron ≤ 1

Selected events = 120

Exp. ν_{μ} events (w/o osc) = 446 ± 23 (syst)



v_e event selection

- Fully contained fiducial volume
- Single ring e-like events
- E_{visible} > 100 MeV
- No decay electron
- 0 < E_{rec} < 1250 MeV
- π^0 rejection cut

Selected events = 28Exp. Bkg. events = 4.9 ± 0.6 (syst)



$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ disappearance

Exp. ν_{μ} events (w/o osc) = 446 ± 23 (syst) Selected events = 120

- Single-ring μ-like sample
- Use E_{reco} distributions
- Unbinned maximum likelihood fit
- Simultaneous fit of $\sin^2 \Theta_{23}$, $\Delta m_{32}^2 (\Delta m_{13}^2 \text{ for IH})$

Oscillation parameters	Best-fit value	
sin²ə ₂₃ [NH]	0.514	
Δm^{2}_{32} [NH] (eV ² /c ⁴)	2.51x10 ⁻³	
sin ² θ ₂₃ [IH]	0.511	
Δm ² ₁₃ [IH] (eV ² /c ⁴)	2.48x10 ⁻³	

Events/0.10 GeV 70 - - DATA 60 - DATA MC Best-fit 50E Best-fit Expectation with Oscillations 40 MC Expectation without Oscillations 30E 20 ⊨ 10 2 3 >5 >5 4 reconstructed v energy (GeV) Reconstructed v Energy (GeV)

13

E_{reco} distribution

Ratio wrt no oscillation

$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ disappearance

- Confidence intervals performed with the Feldman-Cousins method
- T2K data prefer maximal mixing
- (Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 181801 (2014), arXiv:1403.1532)

90% 1D confidence intervals

- NH: $0.428 < \sin^2 \Theta_{23} < 0.598$ $2.34 < \Delta m^2_{32} (eV^2/c^4) < 2.68 (x10^{-3})$
- IH: $0.427 < \sin^2 \theta_{23} < 0.596$ $2.31 < \Delta m^2_{13} (eV^2/c^4) < 2.64 (x10^{-3})$



World's best measurement of $\theta_{23}!$

$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ appearance



7.3 σ significance to non-zero Θ_{13} Discovery of v_e appearance!

- Maximum likelihood fit in {p_e,θ_e}
- Consistent w/ E_{reco} alternative analysis

Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 061802 (2014)

- Marginalize sin² θ_{23} and $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$ w/ T2K Run1-3 v_µ results - Raster scan: fit sin²2 θ_{13} for fixed δ_{CP}



Tension with reactors for certain values of δ_{CP}

ν_{μ} + ν_{e} joint fit: frequentist approach

- Simultaneous fit of v_{μ} -like and v_{e} -like events at T2K
- Taken into account correlations between all the oscillation parameters
- Improvement wrt the stand-alone v_e appearance analysis
- Confidence intervals performed with Feldman-Cousins



 $\delta_{CP}(\pi)$

ν_{μ} + ν_{e} joint fit: bayesian approach

- Also a bayesian analysis has been performed
- Markov Chain MC (MCMC) method
- Marginalization of the fit parameters
- Include simultaneously T2K far and near samples
- Assumed flat prior of $sin^2 2\theta_{23}$, $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$ and P(NH) = P(IH) = 0.5

Credible intervals Constraint from reactors (PDG 2013): 0.03 Probability Density per $\pi/50$ $\sin^2 2\Theta_{13} = 0.095 \pm 0.010$ 90% Credible Interval 0.025 68% Credible Interval **Marginal Posterior** Marginalize over mass hierarchies 0.02 **1D Posterior Mode** PRELIMINARY 0.015 **90% credible intervals** 0.01 $-1.13\pi < \delta_{CP} < -0.14\pi$ 0.005 Prefers Normal Hierarchy (68%) -0.8 -06 0.8 -04 -0.2 0.2 040.6 0

 δ_{cp}/π

Future sensitivity studies



- Used the near detector for sterile searches
- 3+1 sterile neutrino framework $P_{\nu_e \to \nu_e} = 1 \sin^2 2\theta_{ee} \cdot \sin^2 \left(\frac{1.267 \Delta m_{41}^2 L_{\nu}}{E} \frac{\text{GeV}}{\text{eV}^2 \text{km}} \right)$
- No hints of v_{μ} disappearance $\rightarrow sin^2 2\Theta_{\mu\mu} = 0$
- Look for v_e disappearance {sin²2 θ_{ee} ; Δm^2_{41} } \rightarrow study gallium and reactor anomalies
- Fit Ereco distributions
- Use the constrained flux and cross section systematics by the v_{μ} sample (slide 10)
- Log-likelihood ratio method

CC inclusive v_e selection



Control sample to constrain y bkg and out-FV component (OOFV)





Cross section measurements

• v_μ CC-inclusive cross section with ND280 Tracker (PRD 87, 092003 (2013))



Cross section measurements

• v_{μ} CC-inclusive cross section ratio (Fe/C) with INGRID 6.04x10²⁰ p.o.t.



 First measurement v - O¹⁶ neutral current quasi-elastic (NCQE) cross section at SK (arXiv:1403.3140)

- Look to nuclear de-excitation gamma rays

Obs events = 43 Exp events = 55.7

$$<\sigma^{obs}>_{flux}=1.35\times10^{-38}\text{cm}^2$$

68%CL : [1.06; 1.94] × 10⁻³⁸cm²
90%CL : [0.84; 2.34] × 10⁻³⁸cm²

Smaller but consistent with theoretical prediction

 $<\sigma^{theory}>_{flux}=2.01\times10^{-38}{\rm cm}^2$

3.01x10²⁰ p.o.t.



Summary

- Finalized oscillation results for the full Run 1-4 data set
 - Best world measurement of Θ_{23} !!! (arXiv:1403.1532)
 - 7.3 σ significance to non-zero Θ_{13} !!! (PRL 112, 061802 (2014))
 - New T2K joint fit: hint of δ_{CP} =- $\pi/2$ at 90%CL with reactor constraint:

NH: -1.18π < δ_{CP} < 0.15π IH: -0.91π < δ_{CP} < -0.08π

(Preliminary)

• First sterile search at the near detector in the 3+1 model:

 $sin^2 2\Theta_{ee} > 0.2 \&\& \Delta m^2_{41} > 8 eV^2/c^4$ excluded at 95%CL (*Preliminary*)

- Several cross section measurements are released and show good agreement w/ models (many others are ongoing)
- Very important to increase the statistics
- Short anti-neutrino run before summer shutdown

Very interesting new results in the next future!!!

The T2K Collaboration

- 344 researchers from 11 countries
- 59 institutes



BACKUP

Beam flux prediction

Beam flux is predicted based on NA61/SHINE π , K production measurements and T2K proton beam measurements



Hadron production with external data

- Apply weights to the flux for each energy so that MC prediction matches data
 - The weights are calculated at each production step occurred inside the target (C) or the horn conductor (Al) by using external data
 - Interaction rate (production cross section)
 - Pion production
 - Kaon production
- External data : NA61/SHINE (CERN) [1][2], Eitchen *et al.* [3], and Allaby *et al.* [4]



Flux uncertainty as a function of energy

uncertainties are evaluated based on NA61 measurements and T2K beam monitor measurements



Strategy for oscillation analyses



ND280 selected samples







	CC0π purities	CC1π purities	CCother purities
CC0 π	72.6%	6.4%	5.8%
CC1 π	8.6%	49.4%	7.8%
CCother	11.4%	31%	73.8%
Bkg	2.3%	6.8%	8.7%
Out FGD1 FV	5.1%	6.5%	3.9%

Intrinsic electron neutrino

- Intrinsic v_e beam component is ~1.2% of the beam
- CCQE & CCnQE selection (>65% purity)
- \bullet Control sample for γ background. Control the Out-FV background (30% systematic uncertainty)
- Use the systematics constrained by the v_{μ} sample fit
- Agrees with expectation with an uncertainty of 10%: $R(v_e) = 1.01 \pm 0.10$
- Out-FV background rescaled: 0.64 \pm 0.10 (within 1 σ systematic uncertainty)



Accepted for publication by PRD (arXiv:1403.2552)

Full T2K available data set: Runl-IV (5.9x10²⁰ p.o.t.)

31

ND280 γ conversion selection

✓Most of the background selected in the analysis comes from γ conversions in the FGD producing electrons entering the tracker

✓To constrain this background we have developed a control sample of γ conversions in the FGD:

✓ Select 2 tracks with opposite charge

✓Reconstruct their invariant mass (assuming the electron mass)

√If the two tracks come from a gamma conversion M_{inv} should be ~0

 $\checkmark Select ~\gamma$ conversion sample by requiring $M_{inv}{<}50~MeV/c^2$

✓Pure sample of γ conversions (>95% purity)



Systematic uncertainties at T2K

Systematic uncertainties	% variation of Tot # of events (electron neutrino)	% variation of Tot # of events (muon neutrino)
ND280 corr. Flux-Xsec (unconstrained)	2.9 <mark>(25.9)</mark>	2.7 <mark>(21.6)</mark>
ND280 uncorr. Xsec	7.5	4.9
SK detector + Hadronic intearctions	3.5	5.6
Total	8.8	8.1

 $\sin^2\theta_{13}=0.1 - \sin^2\theta_{23}=0.5 - |\Delta m^2_{32}| = 2.40 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2/\text{c}^4 - \text{NH} - \delta_{CP}=0$



T2K selection Ring-µ



T2K selection Ring-e



$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ disappearance

Neutrino reconstructed energy in CCQE hypothesis



PDG (2012): $sin^{2}(\theta_{13}) = 0.0251 \pm 0.0035$ $\Delta m^{2}{}^{21} = (7.50 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^{2}/\text{c}^{4}$ $sin^{2}(\theta_{12}) = 0.312 \pm 0.016$ δ_{CP} unconstrained in [- π ;+ π] Effect on the Tot # of events = 0.2%

Systematics as nuisance parameters



$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ disappearance



Feldman-Cousins 1D Confidence Intervals

	68% CL	90% CL
sin ² (θ ₂₃) [NH]	[0.458,0.568]	[0.428,0.598]
Δm^{2}_{32} (x10 ⁻³) [NH]	[2.41,2.61]	[2.34,2.68]
sin ² (θ ₂₃) [IH]	[0.456,0.566]	[0.427,0.596]
Δm^{2}_{13} (x10 ⁻³) [IH]	[2.38,2.58]	[2.31,2.64]

Great improvement from previous measurement (PRL 111, 211803 2013)

Multi-nucleon effect on ν_{μ} disappearance

- Discrepancy of CCQE cross section between MiniBooNE and other experiments
- Multi-nucleon neutrino interaction model can explain it (Nieves et al Phys.Lett.B 707, 72 (2012). arXiv:1106.5374)
- Simulate multi-nucleon effect in the MC and fit w/ current analysis



- Negligible (sub-percent) effect on $sin^2\theta_{23}$ and Δm^2_{32}
- π-less Δ-decay has 100% systematic uncertainty in the analysis w/ a similar effect

ν_{μ} + ν_{e} joint fit

Mass Hierarchy	$\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2 / \Delta m_{13}^2}{10^{-3} eV^2 / c^4}$	$\sin^2\theta_{23}$	$\sin^2\theta_{13}$
Normal	$2.512^{+0.111}_{-0.118}$	$0.524^{+0.057}_{-0.059}$	$0.042^{+0.013}_{-0.021}$
Inverted	$2.488^{+0.117}_{-0.118}$	$0.523\substack{+0.073 \\ -0.065}$	$0.049\substack{+0.015 \\ -0.021}$



MiniBooNE ν_{μ} disappearance result



Best-fit values

 $sin^22\Theta_{ee} = 1$

 $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 2.14 \text{ eV}^2/c^4$

 $-2\ln\mathcal{L}_T(\sin^22 heta,\Delta m^2;ec{f}) = -2\ln\mathcal{L}_{
u_e} - 2\ln\mathcal{L}_{\gamma} + (ec{f}-ec{f}_0)^TV^{-1}(ec{f}-ec{f}_0)$





	$\chi^2_{bestfit}$	d.o.f.	goodness-of-fit
Osc.	43.57	49	0.75
No Osc.	47.88	51	0.63



$\chi^2_{bestfit}$ w/ oscillation	$\chi^2_{bestfit}$ w/o oscillation	$\Delta \chi^2$	p-value wrt null hypothesis
43.57	47.88	4.31	0.06069

ν_{μ} CC-inclusive cross section

 $<\sigma_{CC}> = (6.91 \pm 0.13(stat) \pm 0.84(syst)) \times 10^{-39} \text{ cm}^2/\text{nucleon}$



Future sin² θ_{23} and $\Delta m_{32}^2 1\sigma$ precision

50% POT *v* + 50% POT anti-*v*

Solid lines: no sys. err.

Dashed lines: projected conservative sys. err. ($\sim 7\%\nu$, $\sim 14\%$ anti- ν)



True values: $\delta_{CP}=0^{\circ}$, $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}=0.1$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23}=0.5$, $|\Delta m^2_{32}| = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2/\text{c}^4$, [NH]

reactor constrain: $\delta(\sin^2 2\theta_{13}) = 0.005$

- Decrease uncertainties a lot in the next 2 years

- Statistical limit reached at the full T2K POT

Appearance 90%CL sensitivity

7.8x10²¹ POT (50% POT v + 50% POT anti-v)



Sensitivity for resolving sinδ_{CP}≠0

50% POT *v* + 50% POT anti-*v*



reactor constrain: $\delta(\sin^2 2\theta_{13}) = 0.005$

T2K+NOVA sensitivity to δ_{CP}

50% POT v + 50% POT anti-v for both T2K and NOVA (full statistics)



90%CL exclusion region w/ $\sin \delta_{CP}=0$

Sensitivity to resolve sinδ_{CP}=0

True values: $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}=0.1$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23}=0.5$, $|\Delta m^2_{32}| = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2/\text{c}^4$ reactor constrain: $\delta(\sin^2 2\theta_{13}) = 0.005$ 5% (10%) of normalization uncertainty on signal (background)

T2K+NOVA sensitivity to MH

50% POT v + 50% POT anti-v for both T2K and NOVA (full statistics)



90%CL exclusion region for MH

Sensitivity to resolve MH

True values: $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}=0.1$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23}=0.5$, $|\Delta m^2_{32}| = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} eV^2/c^4$ reactor constrain: $\delta(\sin^2 2\theta_{13}) = 0.005$ 5% (10%) of normalization uncertainty on signal (background)

Normal