# Higgs Vacuum Instability and its Cosmological Implications

## Archil Kobakhidze

**CoEPP**
ARC Centre of Excellence for
Particle Physics at the Terascale

THE UNIVERSITY OF
SYDNEY

XXVIth Rencontres de Blois
Château de Blois, 18th - 23rd May 2014

Particle Physics & Cosmology

The standard model in particle physics, and beyond
Production properties of the Higgs boson
New trends in astrophysics and cosmology
The search for dark matter and dark energy
Neutrinos in the laboratory and the universe

Programme Committee
Etienne Augé, IN2P3 Paris, France
Ludwik Celnikier, Obs. de Paris, France
Jodi Ann Cooley-Sekula, SMU, Dallas, USA
Jacques Dumarchez, LPNHE, France
Yannic Giraud-Héraud, APC, France
Karl Jakobs, Uni-Freiburg, Germany
Christophe Grojean, CERN
Sotiris Loucatos, CEA, France
Boaz Klima, FNAL, USA
Thomas Lohse, Humboldt Univ., Germany
Silvia Pascoli, Durham Univ. UK
Bolek Pietrzyk, LAPP, Annecy, France
Elizabeth Simmons, Michigan State U., USA
Chung-I Tan, Brown University, USA
Jean Trần Thanh Vân, Orsay Univ., France

new models
new physics
new techniques

http://blois.in2p3.fr/2014/index.htm

AK & A. Spencer-Smith, Phys Lett B 722 (2013) 130 [arXiv:1301.2846]
AK & A. Spencer-Smith, JHEP 1308 (2013) 036 [arXiv:1305.7283]
AK & A. Spencer-Smith, arXiv:1404.4709

# Combined 2012-13 data: It is a Higgs boson, maybe even the Higgs boson

# The SM Higgs with $M_H$=125.9± 0.4 GeV

- **Naturalness problem:** somewhat heavy than typical prediction of the supersymmetric models and somewhat light than typical prediction of technicolour models.

- More notably, the Standard Model vacuum state $|0\rangle_{EW}$

$$_{EW}\langle 0|h|0\rangle_{EW} = v_{EW} \approx 246 \text{ GeV}$$

is a false (local) vacuum. The true vacuum state

$$\langle 0|h|0\rangle \sim M_P \approx 10^{18}\text{GeV} \ ,$$

and it carries large negative energy density $\sim - (M_P)^4$.

- How long does the electroweak vacuum live?

# EW vacuum lifetime: effective Higgs potential

- Electroweak Higgs doublet (in the unitary gauge): $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h(x)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$

$$V_H^{(0)}(h) = \frac{\lambda}{8}\left(h^2 - v_{EW}\right)^2$$

- Effective (quantum-corrected) potential

$$V_H^{(1-\text{loop})}(h) = \frac{\lambda(h)}{8}\left(h^2 - v_{EW}\right)^2 \ ,$$

$$\lambda(h) = \lambda(\mu) + \beta_\lambda \ln(h/\mu)$$

$$(4\pi)^2\beta_\lambda = -6y_t^4 + 24\lambda^2 + \dots$$

$$y_t(m_t) \approx 1 \ , \ \lambda(m_h) \approx 0.13 \longrightarrow \beta_\lambda < 0$$

# EW vacuum lifetime: RG extrapolation of SM parameters

- **Previous calculations:**

F. Bezrukov, M. Y. .Kalmykov, B. A. Kniehl and M. Shaposhnikov, JHEP 1210, 140 (2012) [arXiv: 1205.2893 [hep-ph]];

G. Degrassi, S. Di Vita, J. Elias-Miro, J. R. Espinosa, G. F. Giudice, G. Isidori and A. Strumia, JHEP 1208, 098 (2012) [arXiv:1205.6497 [hep-ph]]; D. Buttazzo, G. Degrassi, P. P. Giardino, G. F. Giudice, F. Sala, A. Salvio and A. Strumia, JHEP 1312, 089 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3536].

3-loop RGE's in mass-independent MSbar scheme; full 2-loop matching condition

- **Our calculations:**

AK & A. Spencer-Smith, arXiv:1404.4709; A. Spencer-Smith, arXiv:1405.1975

1-loop RGE in mass-dependent scheme, 2 – and 3 – loop RGE's in MSbar + corresponding matching condition

# EW vacuum lifetime: RG running of λ in a mass-dependent scheme



Instability scale:

$$[\lambda(\mu_i) = 0] \quad \log_{10}\left(\frac{\mu_i}{\text{GeV}}\right) \approx 9.19 \pm 0.65_{M_t} \pm 0.19_{M_h} \pm 0.13_{\alpha_3} \pm 0.02_{\text{th}}$$

# EW vacuum lifetime: flat spacetime estimate

- Large field limit:

$$V_{\mathrm{H}} = \frac{\bar{\beta}_\lambda \ln(h/\mu_i)}{4} h^4 \ , \ \bar{\beta}_\lambda = \beta_\lambda|_{\mu=\mu_i}$$

$$h_* = \mu_i e^{-1/4}$$



- Using Coleman's prescription, one can calculate that the decay of electroweak vacuum is dominated by small size Lee-Wick bounce solution,

$$R \sim 1/\mu_m \approx 10^{-17}/\mathrm{GeV}, \ \beta_\lambda|_{\mu=\mu_m} = 0$$

$$S_{\mathrm{LW}} = \frac{8\pi^2}{3|\lambda(\mu_m)|}, \ |\lambda(\mu_m)| \approx 0.01 - 0.02$$

Fig. 1. The Higgs potential. For large values of the Higgs field $h$, the electroweak vacuum configuration is regarded as trivial, $v_{\mathrm{EW}} \approx 0$.

$$P_{\mathrm{EW}} = e^{-p} \approx 1, \ p = (\mu_m/H_0)^4 \exp\left(-S_{\mathrm{LW}}\right) << 1$$

# EW vacuum in flat spacetime: stability bound



Stability bound:

$$M_t < 170.16 \pm 0.22_{\alpha_3} \pm 0.13_{M_h} \pm 0.06_{\text{th}} \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_t^{\text{exp}} = 173.34 \pm 0.76_{\alpha_3} \pm 0.3_{\text{QCD}} \text{ GeV}$$

Absolute stability of the electroweak vacuum is excluded now at 99.98% CL

# EW vacuum in an inflationary universe

- Electroweak vacuum decay may qualitatively differ in cosmological spacetimes:

  (i) Thermal activation of a decay process, $T_r < \mu_i$

  (ii) Production of large amplitude Higgs perturbations during inflation,

  $$H_{\text{inf}} < \mu_i \quad \text{[J.R. Espinosa, G.F. Giudice, A. Riotto, JCAP 0805 (2008) 002]}$$

  The bound that follows from the above consideration can be avoided, e.g., in curvaton models, or when $m_h^{\text{eff}} > H_{\text{inf}}$

- Actually, the dominant decay processes are due to instantons, (Hawking-Moss, or CdL-type) [AK & A. Spencer-Smith, Phys Lett B 722 (2013) 130 [arXiv:1301.2846]]

$$V(h, \phi) = V_{\text{H}}(h) + V_{\text{inf}}(\phi) + V_{\text{H}-\text{inf}}$$

$$V_{\text{inf}}(\phi) = \mathcal{V}_{\text{inf}} + V'_*(\phi - \phi_{\text{inf}}) + 1/2 V''_*(\phi - \phi_{\text{inf}})^2 + ...$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{M_P^2}{2}\left(\frac{V'_*}{\mathcal{V}_{\text{inf}}}\right)^2 << 1, \quad -1 << \eta = M_P^2 \frac{V''_*}{\mathcal{V}_{\text{inf}}} << 1$$

# EW vacuum in inflationary universe

- Fixed background approximation: $\phi = \phi_{\text{inf}},\ ds^2 = d\chi^2 + \rho^2(\chi)d\Omega_3,$

$$\rho(\chi) = H_{\text{inf}}^{-1}\sin(H_{\text{inf}}\chi),\ \chi = t^2 + r^2,\ \chi \in [0, \pi/H_{\text{inf}}],\ H_{\text{inf}}^2 = \mathcal{V}_{\text{inf}}/3M_P^2$$

- EoM for Higgs field:

$$\ddot{h} + 3H_{\text{inf}}\cot(H_{\text{inf}}\chi)\dot{h} = \frac{\partial V(\phi_{\text{inf}}, h)}{\partial h}$$

$$\dot{h}(0) = \dot{h}(\pi/H_{\text{inf}}) = 0$$

$$h_L(x_*) = h_R(x_*)$$



Fig. 1. The Higgs potential. For large values of the Higgs field $h$, the electroweak vacuum configuration is regarded as trivial, $v_{\text{EW}} \approx 0$.

# EW vacuum in inflationary universe

- Hawking-Moss instanton: $\dfrac{\partial V}{\partial h} = 0 \;,\; h(x) = h_*,$

$$p \approx \exp\left\{ -\frac{8\pi^2}{3} \frac{V_{\mathrm{H}}(h_*) + V_{\mathrm{H-inf}}(\phi_{\mathrm{inf}}, h_*)}{H_{\mathrm{inf}}^4} \right\}$$

- For $V_{\mathrm{H-inf}}(\phi_{\mathrm{inf}}, h_*) << V(h_*),$

HM transition dominates

$$p = N_e^4 \exp\left( \frac{\pi^2 \bar{\beta}_\lambda}{2e} \frac{\mu_i^4}{H_{\mathrm{inf}}^4} \right)$$

# EW vacuum in inflationary universe

HM transition generates a fast decay of the electroweak vacuum, unless

$$H_{\mathrm{inf}} < 10^9 (10^{12}) \text{ GeV}$$

$$m_h = 126 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_t = 174(172) \text{ GeV}$$

- Together with $n_s < 1$, this implies that only small-field inflationary models are allowed with a negligible tensor/scalar:

$$r < 10^{-11}(10^{-5})$$

- This seems now is excluded by the BICEP2 results:

$$r = 0.2^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$$

# EW vacuum in inflationary universe

- Consider, $V_{\mathrm{H-inf}} = \dfrac{\alpha}{2} h^2 \phi^2 \ (\alpha > 0), \ m_h^{\mathrm{eff}} = \alpha^{1/2} \phi_{\mathrm{inf}} > H_{\mathrm{inf}}$

[O. Lebedev & A. Westphal Phys.Lett. B719 (2013) 415]

[similar consideration applies $\frac{\xi}{2} R^2 h^2$ ]

$$h_* = (-\alpha/\lambda)^{1/2} \phi_{\mathrm{inf}} > \mu_i, \ (\lambda(h_*) < 0)$$

- Large-field chaotic inflation $\left[ V_{\mathrm{inf}} = 1/2 m_\phi^2 \phi^2, \ m_\phi = 10^{-5} M_{\mathrm{P}} \right]$, with

$$10^{-6} > \alpha > 1.4 \sqrt{|\lambda|} \left( H_{\mathrm{inf}}/\phi_{\mathrm{inf}} \right)^2 > 6 \cdot 10^{-12} \ .$$

- Naturalness constraint:

$$\alpha < 64\pi^2 \left( m_\phi/m_h \right)^2 \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-20}$$

Tuning is needed!

# EW vacuum in inflationary universe

- In the limit $m_h^{\mathrm{eff}} >> H_{\mathrm{inf}}$

$$h'' + 3h'\chi = \frac{\partial V(\phi_{\mathrm{inf}}, h)}{\partial h}, \quad [x = m_h^{\mathrm{eff}}\chi]$$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 8h_{\mathrm{R}}\left(8 + \left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{R}}}{h_*}\right)^2 x^2\right)^{-1}, & 0 \le x < x_* \\ \frac{x_* h_*}{x(J_1(ix_*) + iY_1(-ix_*))}\left(J_1(ix) + iY_1(-ix)\right), & x_* < x < \infty \end{cases},$$

$$x_* = \frac{2\sqrt{2}h_*}{h_{\mathrm{R}}}\left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{R}}}{h_*} - 1\right)^{1/2}.$$

$$B_{\mathrm{CdL}} = -\frac{2\pi^2}{\lambda}I < 0, \quad I = \int_0^\infty x^3 dx \left[h^2(x)\left(1 - \frac{h^2(x)}{2h_*^2}\right)\right] < 0, \ \lambda(\mu > \mu_i) < 0.$$

$$p \propto \exp\{-B_{\mathrm{CdL}}\} >> 1 \quad \text{EW vacuum is unstable!}$$

# EW vacuum in inflationary universe

- Fast decay of EW ceases inflation globally (no eternal inflation)

$$e^{3H_{\rm inf}\tau}e^{-(\tau H_{\rm inf})^4 p}$$

$$\tau_{\rm stop} \approx (3/p)^{1/3} H_{\rm inf}^{-1} < 1.4 H_{\rm inf}^{-1}$$

- The above considerations applies to models with curvaton

$$\mathcal{A}'_s = \sqrt{\mathcal{A}_s^2 + \frac{g^2(X)H_{\rm inf}^2}{8\pi^2 M_{\rm P}^2}}, \quad r' = \frac{16\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon g^2(X)}$$

In light of BICEP2 the electroweak vacuum within the Standard Model is unstable! New physics must enter at $< \mu_I \sim 10^9$ GeV.

# Neutrino masses and vacuum stability

$$\beta_\lambda^{(1)} = 24\lambda^2 - 6y_t^4 + \frac{3}{4}g_2^4 + \frac{3}{8}\left(g_1^2 + g_2^2\right)^2 + \lambda\left(-9g_2^2 - 3g_1^2 + 12y_t^2\right)$$

① Extension of the electroweak gauge sector
② Extension of a scalar sector
③ Extension of the fermionic sector

- Working with MS-bar couplings:
① Modification of beta-functions above the particle mass threshold
② Finite threshold correction due to the matching of low and high energy theories

- Neutrino oscillations (= masses) provide the most compelling evidence for the physics beyond the Standard Model.

# Neutrino masses and vacuum stability

AK & A. Spencer-Smith, JHEP 1308 (2013) 036 [arXiv:1305.7283]

- Type I see-saw models: additional massive sterile neutrinos – not capable to solve the vacuum stability problem

- Type III see-saw models: additional electroweak-triplet fermions – may solve the problem for very specific range of parameters

More promising candidates:

- Type III see-saw: additional electroweak-triplet scalar

- Left-right symmetric models: additional gauge bosons, scalars and fermions

## Type II see-saw models and vacuum stability

Scalar potential:

$$V(\phi, \Delta) = -m_\phi^2 \phi^\dagger \phi + \lambda (\phi^\dagger \phi)^2 + m_\Delta^2 \text{tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta) + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}(\text{tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta))^2$$

$$+\frac{\lambda_2}{2}\left[(\text{tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta))^2 - \text{tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta)^2\right] + \lambda_4(\phi^\dagger \phi)\text{tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta) + \lambda_5 \phi^\dagger [\Delta^\dagger, \Delta]\phi + \left[\frac{\lambda_6}{\sqrt{2}}\phi^T i\sigma_2 \Delta^\dagger \phi + \text{h.c.}\right].$$

Neutrino masses:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y_\Delta)_{fg} l_L^{Tf} C i\sigma_2 \Delta l_L^g + h.c.$$

# Type II see-saw models and vacuum stability



(a) $m_\Delta = 1$ TeV, $\lambda_6 = 0.01 m_\Delta$

(b) $m_\Delta = 100$ TeV, $\lambda_6 = 0.13 m_\Delta$.

(c) $m_\Delta = 10^8$ GeV, $\lambda_6 = 0.17 m_\Delta$.

(d) $m_\Delta = 100$ TeV, $\lambda_6 = 0.57 m_\Delta$.

Figure 2: One loop running of the Higgs quartic coupling in the type-II seesaw model, with $m_h = 125$ GeV and $m_t = 173$ GeV.

B
B

19

# Type II see-saw models and vacuum stability



(a) $m_\Delta = 10^8\,\text{GeV}$, $\lambda_6 = 0.17 m_\Delta$.

(b) $m_\Delta = 1\,\text{TeV}$, $\lambda_6 = 0.01 m_\Delta$.

Figure 3: Conditions for stability and absence of tachyonic modes in the scalar potential of the type-II seesaw model, with $m_h = 125$ GeV and $m_t = 175$ GeV. Line labels correspond to the stability conditions (3.9)-(3.16) with $a \equiv \lambda$, $b \equiv \lambda_1$, $c \equiv \lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2}$, $d/e \equiv \lambda_4 \pm \lambda_5 + 2\sqrt{\lambda\lambda_1}$, $f/g \equiv \lambda_4 \pm \lambda_5 + 2\sqrt{\lambda\left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2}\right)}$, $h \equiv \lambda_6$, $i \equiv -\lambda_5 v_\Delta$, $j \equiv -2\lambda_5 v_\Delta - \frac{\lambda_2 v_\Delta^3}{v_{EW}^2}$.

# Alternating LR-symmetric model with universal see-saw for all fermion masses

Scalar sector:

$$\phi_L \in (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 1/2), \ \ \phi_R \in (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, 1/2).$$

$$V(\phi_L, \phi_R) = -m^2 \left( \phi_L^\dagger \phi_L + \phi_R^\dagger \phi_R \right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \phi_L^\dagger \phi_L + \phi_R^\dagger \phi_R \right)^2 + \sigma \phi_L^\dagger \phi_L \phi_R^\dagger \phi_R.$$

New fermions:

$$N_L, N_R \in (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0),$$

$$E_L, E_R \in (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -1),$$

$$U_{iL}, U_{iR} \in (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 2/3),$$

$$D_{iL}, D_{iR} \in (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -1/3),$$

# Alternating LR-symmetric model with universal see-saw for all fermion masses



(a) Running gauge couplings.

(b) Running Yukawa couplings.

(c) Running Higgs quartic coupling

Figure 4: Running couplings in the ALRSM with $M_T = 4.7 \times 10^9$ GeV and $m = 1 \times 10^{10}$ GeV.

Conclusions:

- The absolute stability of the electroweak vacuum is excluded at 99.98% CL

- In light of BICEP2 discovery of B-modes the electroweak vacuum within the Standard model is unstable. New physics, stabilizing the electroweak vacuum, must enter the game at scales $< 10^9$ GeV.

- The most promising models of neutrino masses with a stable electroweak vacuum are: type II see-saw and LR models. They may potentially be tested at LHC.