- 26th Rencontres de Blois, 18-23 May 2014 -

Higgs and EW precision data

Andrea Tesi



mainly based on Barbieri, T 1311.7493 , Barbieri, Buttazzo, Kannike, Sala, T 1304.3670

DISCLAIMER

I will only consider the impact of Higgs and EW measurements in the case of Natural New Physics.

I will consider explicit models



- What is the present scenario?
- Higgs couplings vs. EWPT

[D'Agnolo, Kuflik, Zanetti; Gupta, Montull, Riva; Gupta, Rzehak, Wells; ...]

THE PARTICLE PHYSICISTS VIEW OF THE WORLD

[from D.B. Kaplan '97]

Natural SUSY

Cohen et al '94 Dimopoulos, Giudice '95

Barbieri, Pappadopulo '09 Papucci et al '11



. . .

Light higgssinos, stops and gluinos vs. 126 GeV $-\frac{m_Z^2}{2} \simeq |\mu|^2 + m_{Hu}^2, \qquad m_h^2 \simeq m_Z^2 + \Delta_t^2$ \clubsuit NMSSM as the best motivated option for Natural SUSY,

$$W \supset \lambda SH_dH_u + f(S)$$

* Less sensitive to stop-top sector

$$m_h^2 = m_Z^2 c_{2\beta}^2 + \lambda^2 v^2 s_{2\beta}^2 + \Delta_{mix}^2 + \Delta_t^2$$

- * Small tuning $\Delta \lesssim 10$ for small aneta and $\lambda \simeq 1$ [Gherghetta et al '12]
- * It allows the lightest particle to be an extra Higgs: $\tilde{m} \longrightarrow \frac{\lambda}{q} \tilde{m}$



[Hall,Pinner, Ruderman '11],[Gherghetta et al '12]

This spectrum allows us to focus only on the Higgs sector

 $c_V = \cos\gamma\cos\delta, \quad c_t = \cos\gamma(\cos\delta + \sin\delta\cot\beta), \quad c_b = \cos\gamma(\cos\delta - \sin\delta\tan\beta)$



[Hall,Pinner, Ruderman '11],[Gherghetta et al '12]

This spectrum allows us to focus only on the Higgs sector

 $c_V = \cos\gamma\cos\delta, \quad c_t = \cos\gamma(\cos\delta + \sin\delta\cot\beta), \quad c_b = \cos\gamma(\cos\delta - \sin\delta\tan\beta)$

NMSSM with light singlet, $\delta \equiv 0$. Universal rescaling with $\cos \gamma$



[Hall,Pinner, Ruderman '11],[Gherghetta et al '12]

This spectrum allows us to focus only on the Higgs sector

 $c_V = \cos\gamma\cos\delta, \quad c_t = \cos\gamma(\cos\delta + \sin\delta\cot\beta), \quad c_b = \cos\gamma(\cos\delta - \sin\delta\tan\beta)$

NMSSM with light singlet, $\delta \equiv 0$. Universal rescaling with $\cos \gamma$ MSSM $\gamma \equiv 0$

Fitting the Higgs



We used the code of [Giardino, Kannike, Masina, Raidal, Strumia, 13]

NMSSM with light-singlet, $\sin^2 \gamma \lesssim 22\% \longrightarrow c_V \sim 10\%$ MSSM, $\sin \delta|_{\tan \beta = 10} \lesssim 5\% \longrightarrow c_V \sim .1\%$

NMSSM with light singlet

- Phenomenology similar to singlet extension of the SM
- Peculiar potential from SUSY

Once $\lambda=0.8$ and $\Delta_t \lesssim 75~{
m GeV}$ have been chosen, just two parameters

Higgs Couplings

$$\sin^2 \gamma = \frac{1}{m_{h_2}^2 - m_h^2} \left[\frac{2t_\beta^2}{(1 + t_\beta^2)^2} \lambda^2 v^2 + \Delta_t^2 + m_Z^2 (\frac{1 - t_\beta^2}{1 + t_\beta^2})^2 - m_h^2 \right]$$

ElectroWeak parameters (fully computable)

$$\Delta \varepsilon_1 = -\sin^2 \gamma \frac{3\alpha}{8\pi c_w^2} \left[\log \frac{m_{h_2}}{m_h} + c_1(m_h) + O(\frac{m_Z^2}{m_{h_2}^2}) \right] \simeq -10^{-5}$$





This is a natural region poorly constrained by precision measurements

In the allowed region most interesting channel BR $(h_2 \rightarrow hh)$ [CMS looked for it $X \rightarrow hh \rightarrow b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$, CMS-PAS-HIG-13-032]

MSSM

EWPT never relevant

Absence of log-enhancement (suppressed by m_W^4/m_H^4), but custodial breaking in quartics leads to

$$\widehat{T} = \frac{\alpha}{48\pi s_w^2} \frac{m_W^2 - \Delta m^2}{m_H^2} + O(\frac{m_W^4}{m_H^4})$$
 Numerically very small $\longrightarrow \boxed{\widehat{T} \sim 10^{-6}}$



- ▶ LHC8, $m_H > 350$ GeV @ 95% CL
- ▶ @ large-tan β direct searches $H, A \rightarrow \tau \tau$ important

Composite Higgs

Georgi, Kaplan '84 Agashe, Contino, Pomarol '04 Contino, Da Rold, Pomarol '06



Effective description below the compositeness scale

Why light and natural?

Higgs as pseudo-GB of a strong sector with SO(5)/SO(4) symmetry

- Predictive power of "chiral" expansion
- Separation of scales f > v



$$m_h^2 = C \frac{N_c m_t^2}{2\pi^2} g_\psi^2$$

► Tuning (for 126 GeV Higgs)

$$\Delta \sim \frac{m_{\psi}^2}{v^2} \ge \frac{f^2}{v^2}$$

Top partners have been actively searched for: $m_{\psi} > 700 \text{ GeV}$



Composite Higgs couplings

Precise prediction from symmetry of the "chiral" lagrangian. Important tree-level effects mainly sensitive to v/f

$$c_V = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{f^2}}, \ \ c_\psi = \frac{1 - 2\frac{v^2}{f^2}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{f^2}}}$$

From the previous Higgs fit we get

$$f^2 \gtrsim 5v^2$$

Full complementary with direct searches $m_{\psi} > 1.5 \text{ TeV}(\frac{g_{\psi}}{3})$

Target precision on c_V from Higgs fit $c_V \sim 10\%$

EWPT & strong coupling

When the Higgs is a pGB, general prediction [Barbieri et al '07]

$$\widehat{S} = \frac{g^2}{96\pi^2} (1 - c_V^2) \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_h}, \qquad \widehat{T} = -(1 - c_V^2) \frac{3\alpha}{8\pi c_w^2} \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_h}$$
Assuming no other contribution precision on $c_V \sim 5\%$
[Ciuchini, Franco, Mishima, Silvestrini '13]

EWPT & strong coupling

When the Higgs is a pGB, general prediction [Barbieri et al '07]

$$\begin{split} \widehat{S} &= \frac{g^2}{96\pi^2} (1 - c_V^2) \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_h}, \qquad \widehat{T} = -(1 - c_V^2) \frac{3\alpha}{8\pi c_w^2} \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_h} \\ \text{Assuming no other contribution precision on } c_V \sim 5\% \\ & \text{[Ciuchini, Franco, Mishima, Silvestrini '13]} \end{split}$$

It is possible to find UV contributions that relax this bound [Grojean, Matsedonskyi, Panico '13]

EWPT & strong coupling

When the Higgs is a pGB, general prediction [Barbieri et al '07]

$$\begin{split} \widehat{S} &= \frac{g^2}{96\pi^2} (1 - c_V^2) \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_h}, \qquad \widehat{T} = -(1 - c_V^2) \frac{3\alpha}{8\pi c_w^2} \log \frac{\Lambda}{m_h} \\ \text{Assuming no other contribution precision on } c_V \sim 5\% \\ & \text{[Ciuchini, Franco, Mishima, Silvestrini '13]} \end{split}$$

It is possible to find UV contributions that relax this bound [Grojean, Matsedonskyi, Panico '13]

At present, Composite Higgs has natural islands allowed by precision tests

We can simulate the physics of Composite Higgs by a (computable) L ΣM

$$1 - c_V^2 = \sin^2 \theta = \xi - \frac{m_h^2}{m_\sigma^2} + O(\xi \frac{m_h^2}{m_\sigma^2})$$

$$\Delta \varepsilon_1 = -\sin^2 \theta \frac{3\alpha}{8\pi c_w^2} \left[\log \frac{m_\sigma}{m_h} + c_1(m_h) + O(\frac{m_Z^2}{m_\sigma^2}) \right]$$
solid δc_V , dashed $\Delta \varepsilon_1$

$$\int_{1200}^{1400} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{2.5 \%} \frac{1}{2.5 \%} \frac{1}{3.0 - \frac{1}{3.5 - 4.0}} \frac{1}{300/\text{fb}} \left| \begin{array}{c} c_V < 6\% \\ c_V < 2 \div 3\% \\ c_V < 1\% \\ TLEP \end{array} \right| \frac{300/\text{fb}}{\Delta \varepsilon_1 < 10^{-4} \text{[Mishima]}}$$

Complementary info on top-partners mass: $m_\psi\gtrsim 3 imes f imes \left(rac{g_\psi}{3}
ight)$

16/17

Conclusions

Higgs couplings already useful tool

- ► LHC8 powerfully constrained 2HDM type-II (MSSM)
- Competitive with direct searches in the MSSM (at moderate $\tan \beta$)

NMSSM with light singlet motivated option for SUSY

- Poorly constrained by direct and indirect searches
- ► EWPT never relevant

Higgs couplings seem the best places to see effects in future

EWPT play a role only in strongly coupled scenarios

Backup

Experimental status: fit



Agreement with SM within 1σ . In principle room for sizeable deviations

 $\delta c_V \lesssim 15\%, \quad \delta c_f \lesssim 20\%$





$$\Delta \varepsilon_1 = (5 \div 8) 10^{-4}$$

In the "near" future (2022) 300/fb @LHC14

	ATLAS	CMS
$h \to \gamma \gamma$	0.16	0.15
$h \rightarrow ZZ$	0.15	0.11
$h \to WW$	0.30	0.14
$Vh \to Vb\bar{b}$	-	0.17
$h \to \tau \tau$	0.24	0.11
$h ightarrow \mu \mu$	0.52	-

w/ theoretical uncertainties included

...and in the far (203*)

HL-LHC (3000/fb)	TLEP (240 $ ightarrow$ 350 GeV)	TLEP (90 $ ightarrow$ 160 GeV)
$\delta c_V \lesssim 4 \div 5\%$	$\delta\sigma_{hZ}\leftrightarrow\delta c_V<1\%$	$\Delta \varepsilon_1 \lesssim 10^{-4}$
[ATLAS & CMS twiki]	[1308.6176]	[Mishima]

The 3 parameters of EW sector fixed in terms of the most precise observables

$$g, g', v \leftarrow \alpha, G_F, M_Z$$

Many others (derived) observables depends on these input parameters

$$O_i = O_i(\alpha, G_F, M_Z), \quad O_i = \{\Gamma_Z, A_{Z-pole}^{(l,b,\tau)}, M_W\}$$

We can extract 3 parameters in terms of measured quantities, M_W, g_V, g_A .

$$\Delta r = 1 - s^2 c^2 \left[\left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2}\right) \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2} \right]^{-1} \\ \Delta \rho = -2(1 + 2g_A) \\ \Delta k = \left(1 - g_V/g_A\right) / (4s^2) - 1$$

 $\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= \Delta \rho \\ \varepsilon_2 &= c^2 \Delta \rho + s^2 / (c^2 - s^2) \Delta r - 2s^2 \Delta k \end{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Altarelli, Barbieri '91} \\ \varepsilon_3 &= c^2 \Delta \rho + (c^2 - s^2) \Delta k \end{aligned}$

ε -parameters

In terms of 1-loop corrections, [Barbieri, Caravaglios, Frigeni '92]

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= e_1 - e_5 - \delta G_{V,b}/G_F - 4\delta g_A, \\ \varepsilon_2 &= e_2 - s^2 e_4 - c^2 e_5 - \delta G_{A,b}/G_F - \delta g_V - 3\delta g_A, \\ \varepsilon_3 &= e_3 + c^2 e_4 - c^2 e_5 + (c^2 - s^2)/(2s^2)\delta g_V - (1 + 2s^2)/(2s^2)\delta g_A \end{aligned}$$

where
$$e_i$$
 encodes oblique corrections,

$$\Pi_{ij}^{\mu\nu}(q^2) = -i\eta^{\mu\nu}[\Pi_{ij}(0) + q^2F_{ij}(q^2)] + (q^{\mu}q^{\nu}\cdots)$$

$$e_{1} = \frac{\Pi_{33}(0) - \Pi_{WW}(0)}{M_{W}^{2}}, \qquad e_{4} = F_{\gamma\gamma}(0) - F_{\gamma\gamma}(M_{Z}^{2}), \\ e_{2} = F_{WW}(M_{W}^{2}) - F_{33}(M_{Z}^{2}), \qquad e_{5} = M_{Z}^{2}F_{ZZ}'(M_{Z}^{2}), \\ e_{3} = \frac{c}{s}F_{30}(M_{Z}^{2}), \qquad e_{5} = M_{Z}^{2}F_{ZZ}'(M_{Z}^{2}),$$

- Often $\Delta \varepsilon_i \equiv \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i^{\text{SM}}$ depends only on oblique corrections Δe_i .
- Sometimes $F_{ij}(q^2)$ has a mild dependence on q^2 .

In 'many' cases, we can expand

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{WW}(q^2) &= \Pi_{WW}(0) + q^2 \left[\Pi'_{WW}(0) + \frac{q^2}{2!} \Pi''_{WW}(0) + \cdots \right], \\ \Pi_{33}(q^2) &= \Pi_{33}(0) + q^2 \left[\Pi'_{33}(0) + \frac{q^2}{2!} \Pi''_{33}(0) + \cdots \right], \\ \Pi_{BB}(q^2) &= \Pi_{BB}(0) + q^2 \left[\Pi'_{BB}(0) + \frac{q^2}{2!} \Pi''_{BB}(0) + \cdots \right], \\ \Pi_{3B}(q^2) &= \Pi_{3B}(0) + q^2 \left[\Pi'_{3B}(0) + \frac{q^2}{2!} \Pi''_{3B}(0) + \cdots \right], \end{aligned}$$

 $\Pi' \rightarrow [{\sf Peskin}, \, {\sf Takeuchi~'91}] \\ \Pi'' \rightarrow [{\sf Barbieri}, \, {\sf Pomarol}, \, {\sf Rattazzi}, \, {\sf Strumia~'04}]$

Up to order q^4 we have

$$12 - \underbrace{3}_{g,g',v} - \underbrace{2}_{U(1)_{QED}} = 7 \text{ coefficients}$$

[Barbieri, Pomarol, Rattazzi, Strumia '04]

Adimensional form factors	operators	custodial	$SU(2)_L$
$g^{-2}\widehat{S} = \Pi'_{3B}(0)$	$(H^{\dagger}\tau^{a}H)W^{a}_{\mu u}B_{\mu u}/gg'$	+	-
$g^{-2}M_W^2\hat{T} = \Pi_{33}(0) - \Pi_{WV}$	$_{W}(0) \qquad H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H ^{2}$	_	_
$-g^{-2}\widehat{U} = \Pi'_{33}(0) - \Pi'_{WV}$	$_{V}(0)$	-	-
$2g^{-2}M_W^{-2}V = \Pi_{33}''(0) - \Pi_{WV}''$	$_{V}(0)$	_	_
$2g^{-1}g'^{-1}M_W^{-2}X = \Pi_{3B}''(0)$		+	-
$2g'^{-2}M_W^{-2}Y = \Pi''_{BB}(0)$	$(\partial_{ ho}B_{\mu u})^2/2g'^2$	+	+
$2g^{-2}M_W^{-2}W = \Pi_{33}''(0)$	$(D_{ ho}W^a_{\mu u})^2/2g^2$	+	+
$\begin{split} \delta e_1 &= \widehat{T} , \\ \delta e_2 &\simeq \widehat{U} - V - \frac{s^2}{c^2} W \\ \delta e_3 &\simeq \widehat{S} + \frac{X}{sc} , \\ \delta \varepsilon_1 &\simeq \ \widehat{T} \\ \delta \varepsilon_2 &\simeq \ \widehat{U} \\ \delta \varepsilon_3 &\simeq \ \widehat{S} \end{split}$	$e_4 \simeq -\frac{s^2}{c^2}W - \frac{2s}{c}X - Y,$ $e_5 \simeq W - 2\frac{s}{c}X + \frac{s^2}{c^2}Y,$ $\tilde{f} - W + 2X\frac{s}{c} - Y\frac{s^2}{c^2},$ $\tilde{f} - W + 2X\frac{s}{c} - V,$ $\tilde{f} - W + \frac{X}{sc} - Y.$		

16/17

We can simulate the physics of Composite Higgs by a simple $\mathsf{L}\Sigma\mathsf{M}$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)^2 - \lambda (\Phi^2 - f_0^2)^2 - V(\varphi, \varphi_5),$$

The limit $\lambda \gg 1$ reproduces the usual constraint $\Phi^2 = f^2 V(\varphi, \varphi_5)$ breaks SO(5) explicitly: φ (fourplet), φ_5 (SM singlet)

$$V_{\mathbf{5}}(\varphi,\varphi_5) = \alpha f_0^2 \varphi^2 - \beta \varphi^2 \varphi_5^2$$

 $m_{\sigma} \sim \sqrt{\lambda} f < 4\pi f$

 $\sin \theta$, mixing $(h, \sigma) \leftrightarrow (\varphi, \varphi_5)$

 m_h

All determined by m_σ and f

If one accepts this model, then all become calculable. IR-logs in EWPT are then cut-off by m_{σ} .